

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JSRR_104338</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Research on the International Publicity Translation of Culture-loaded Words in the Corpus Involving Documentary and Tourism Propaganda Texts from the Perspective of Cultural Translation Theory</b>
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes. It is important in promoting international tourism.</p> <p>The title is too long. Please consider this title "The International Publicity Translation of Culture-Loaded Words from the Perspective of Cultural Translation Theory"</p> <p>Yes. It is comprehensive.</p> <p>The subsections and structure are appropriate except the use of words for consistency.</p> <p>Yes. It is scientifically correct.</p> <p>In references, use APA format.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes. It is scholarly suitable.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> <p>No ethical issues found. However, the researcher needs to present the source where he got the word "wrong values" as commented in page 2.</p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Roderick L. Almario</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Giro &amp; Kids Preparatory School, Philippines</b>