

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_104338
Title of the Manuscript:	Research on the International Publicity Translation of Culture-loaded Words in the Corpus Involving Documentary and Tourism Propaganda Texts from the Perspective of Cultural Translation Theory
Type of the Article	Original manuscript

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. This manuscript is important for scientific community due to its emphasis on the cultural translation.</p> <p>2. The title of this article is not scientific enough. Therefore, author should only use accepted word terms. A more suitable title would be "International Corpus on Documentaries and Tourism Propaganda Texts from the Perspective of Cultural Translation Theory".</p> <p>3. The abstract is quite comprehensive. However, author should include his/her use of theoretical and conceptual frameworks, as well as research methods and approach.</p> <p>4. The article's subsections and structure are appropriate, yet redundant. Consider revising.</p> <p>5. The manuscript is scientifically correct and on point. Minor mistakes are only found in the use of terminologies.</p> <p>6. Some references are recent. However, there are at least ten outdated sources. Author is advised to use only of those published in the last five years.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. The use of English language in this article is suitable for the scholarly communications.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	-	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bima Prana Chitra
Department, University & Country	Harapan Medan University, Indonesia