

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_106244
Title of the Manuscript:	Transformation of Poultry wastes and Oil Palm Residues into Liquid Fertilizers and its Use in the Fertilization of Oil Palm at the Prenursery and Nursery Stages
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes, it is pertinent to design methods for sustainable farming, especially in fuel crops in which less agronomic information has been delivered to farmers in developing countries. Yes Yes Yes Yes Adding more recent and relevant references is recommended An economic budget analysis could be more conclusive for making the best recommendation.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	It is highly recommended to have the paper grammar-checked and undergo stylistics and editing.	
Optional/General comments	Please follow the journal guidelines.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ignacio Carranza Cerda
Department, University & Country	Colegio de Postgraduados, México