

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_99957
Title of the Manuscript:	Scleral Buckling: Where we are, Choice from World over
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p><b>“Results:</b> The questionnaire had following questions: 3. <i>What is your preference: Scleral buckling or vitrectomy, if rhegmatogenous retinal detachment fits in criteria of scleral buckling?</i> 66% said that they will do vitrectomy and 41.3% said that they will do scleral buckling.</p> <p><b>Conclusion:</b> In this study we concluded that most of the retinal surgeons prefer scleral buckling as the procedure of choice for rhegmatogenous retinal detachment than vitrectomy as it gives a higher anatomical success rate with less pre- and post-operative complications.”</p> <p>???</p>	
<p><b>Minor REVISION</b> comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	-	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The questionnaire is interesting, but the Manuscript must be re-written.</p> <p>Statistical analysis graphs could be added to visualize the results.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Nikol Panou</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>General Oncologic Hospital of Kifisia "Oi Agioi Anargyroi", Greece</b>