

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_106627
Title of the Manuscript:	Characterization of Slow wilt (<i>Fusarium oxysporum</i>) and Basal wilt (<i>Sclerotium rolfsii</i>) pathogens from different Pepper Ecosystems of Tamil Nadu
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>In my Opinium the manuscript contributes the general body of knowledge to the scientific community. The manuscript is succent and displays high scholarly ability.</p> <p>2. The title is suitable. However the manuscript also revealed the status “ Status and Characterization of Slow wilt (<i>Fusarium oxysporum</i>) and Basal wilt (<i>Sclerotium rolfsii</i>) pathogens from different Pepper Ecosystems of Tamil Nadu</p> <p>3. The abstract is comprehensive enough.</p> <p>4. Sub section are appropriate and represent a general flow of work</p> <p>5. The instruments used in the analysis are up to date and scientifically appropriate</p> <p>6. References are sufficient but the 2010 to 2013 can be improved.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language is fine</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The few comments have been highlighted on the track changes and comment on the reviewed manuscript for consideration</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alusaine Edward Samura
Department, University & Country	School of Agriculture and Food Sciences, Njala University, Sierra Leone