

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_106896
Title of the Manuscript:	Design of filtration system for aerated sewage water
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. What is the reason for the selection of sand and charcoal for the study?</p> <p>2.</p> <p>3. Through the manuscript after the numerical should leave space then add units. eg 30cm (should write as 30 cm).</p> <p>4. In methodoldy, last sentence has grammatical error, was instead of "were" and in statistical analysis in methodology, 2 lines, a negative instead of "an negative"</p> <p>5. All the table need to add legends.</p> <p>6. Values in the tables has to produce in \pm Values, by then it should understandably have error percentage.</p> <p>7. Spacing between the tables and figures have to be check and corrected.</p> <p>8. What do you want to convey by this study to future aspects?</p> <p>9. Add more reference to relate with the present study in discussion.</p> <p>10. Tank capacity should be included in the manuscript.</p> <p>11. Whether the results of the present paper compared with previous reports anywhere in the manuscript?</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Usage of Phrases should be improved.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	S. Jenefar
Department, University & Country	Hindustan College of Arts & Science, India