

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_106704
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Ambient Temperature on Yield attributes, Nitrogen and Sulphur Content percent of Mustard Cultivars at Varied Geometry
Type of the Article	Original article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Make a comparison of the effect that increasing temperature has on the production of mustard crops using open top chambers, the thermal influence and adjustment can help improve production. 2. I suggest the following title for the manuscript: "Evaluation of the modification of environmental temperature in mustard cultivars and its effect on crop yield, percentage content of nitrogen and sulfur". "Evaluation of temperature modification in open top chambers in mustard cultivars and its effect on yield and percentage content of nitrogen and sulfur." 3. Yes. 4. Within the introductory section it is listed as "sulfur fertilizer:" which is interpreted as a subsection. In results and discussion, I would omit the subsection. 5. When reading it in certain parts I lack that connection in the theme, although it is understood that it is in a summarized way. 6. Yes. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	Yes.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	In my opinion, a little more development is needed in the scientific part. In the introduction there is no mention of open top chambers, which in my opinion is the interesting part of the work.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Miguel Armando López Beltrán
Department, University & Country	Autonomous University of Sinaloa, México