

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_106648
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of spacing and biofertilizer on growth and yield of Greengram (<i>Vigna radiata</i>)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The article has relevance for agriculture and research, as it provides important information about the vigna that contributes an important role in protein for our diet. In order to contribute to the text, here are some observations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> keywords: change all keywords, because all are already present in the title. You could use different terms which would help a lot in the search for the article; <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Some citations are missing in manuscript, in the introduction and discussion portion recent references must be required to support this study.</p> <p>In addition, the paper presents the other criteria necessary for a scientific publication of quality and with a scientific contribution</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	The article must be published after verifying the suggestions presented.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pratibha Bisen
Department, University & Country	Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, India