

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_105880
Title of the Manuscript:	Cytokinins along with osmoprotectant trehalose overcome the deleterious effect of drought stress on wheat
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment This manuscript is neither scientifically robust nor technically sound.	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, manuscript is important for scientific community.</p> <p>Yes, but was not appropriately framed.</p> <p>No, Abstract does show clearly the objective of carrying out the research. Author(s) have not demonstrated understanding of the procedure to carry out the research based on a previous Reference: Agric. Res. J. 55:86-91 (2018). Not Applicable.</p> <p>Author(s) could refer to the Reference: Agric. Res. J. 55:86-91 (2018). Author(s) have not shown that the need to measure and compare the contents of the following in the wheat plants: Various enzymatic and non-enzymatic antioxidants- Reduced glutathione content etc.</p> <p>-</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. Not applicable as the whole write-up is not acceptable.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments Sorry, however there has not been shown a clear understanding of what this work involve.</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Grace O. Tona
Department, University & Country	Ladoke Akintola University of Technology, Nigeria