

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_105913
Title of the Manuscript:	Associations of Age, Gender and Years of Experience as Athletes with Knowledge and Attitude towards Bystander Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation in Nigeria
Type of the Article	Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmah.com/index.php/AJMAH/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The manuscript is important for scientific community, if some issues will make correct.</p> <p>2. The title of the article is suitable.</p> <p>3. The abstract of the article is comprehensive.</p> <p>4. 2.4. Null Hypotheses should be a rejective hypotheses, in this research the subsection of 2.4 should be alternative hypotheses to affirm.</p> <p>The characteristics setting of Sampling should be showed in Sampling / Data Collection. "419 of them covering 25 sports filled and returned the questionnaire" in 2.2. Sampling / Data Collection should be written in Result.</p> <p>The characteristics of participants should be showed in Result.</p> <p>Festival from November 28 to December 10, 2022 and the data collection with the questionnaire lasted for 6 months. Did the questionnaire hand out at the festival? How did the questionnaire collect?</p> <p>5. The null hypothesis and alternative hypotheses should be Cleary.</p> <p>Table 2 and Table 4 is same data, are these correct?</p> <p>What are K6~K16, Att 23~29 in the items of questionnaire?</p> <p>Other data of items of the questionnaire should be show in the Table.</p> <p>6. The references are sufficient and recent.</p> <p>The association of the result of this research and the references should be discussed more in Discussion.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>"Sig." in the Table should be change to p-value.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Naomi Matsumori
Department, University & Country	Prefectural University of Hiroshima, Japan