

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Immunology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJI_105793
Title of the Manuscript:	Survival Times of Breast Cancer Patients in Nigeria
Type of the Article	Data Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalaji.com/index.php/AJI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The meta-analysis approaches a topical issue. The inclusion and exclusion criteria are well chosen.</p> <p>The title is appropriate.</p> <p>The abstract summarizes the data presented in the article and presents the research results</p> <p>The structure of the manuscript follows the norms of a meta-analysis.</p> <p>The methodology is correct. In my opinion, the results are not correct. The 5-year and 10-year survival cannot be greater than 1-year and 3-year survival. From this point of view, the study requires major revisions.</p> <p>The bibliography is vast and includes significant titles.</p> <p>I did not find the statement in the attached reference: 6.3 million women have previously been diagnosed and are currently living with breast cancer. (ref 6) The source from which figures 1 and 2 were taken is not specified</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The writing in English is correct.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nedelcu Alin Horatiu
Department, University & Country	"Grigore T. Popa" University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Romania