

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_106234
Title of the Manuscript:	THE CULTIVATION OF SCALLOP (<i>Patinopecten yessoensis</i>) IN HOKKAIDO, JAPAN
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajfar.com/index.php/AJFAR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The chosen study topic is indeed intriguing and holds great potential. However, if the goal is to publish it as a research article in a journal, substantial revisions are necessary to address the numerous major flaws that have been identified. Furthermore, it is essential for the authors to give meticulous attention to presenting the data in a well-organized manner and utilize a proper way of addressing the facts.</p> <p>The current topic is suitable for the publication but need to revised many things to arrange as a proper manuscript.</p> <p>The abstract is not properly organized and authors need to revised it. I have given the comments with track changes.</p> <p>Yes, Authors have provided suitable subsections and structures.</p> <p>Yes, authors need to justify their findings correctly to validate their results. Authors should provide sufficient arrangement to create a proper paper. The authors did not provide sufficient methodology for the study, and the interpretation should be revised carefully. In addition, the subsection associated with the problem lacks justification for their findings to address the issue. Therefore, I would suggest that authors pay careful attention to the results and discussion section to arrange the manuscript without flaws properly.</p> <p>Please double check.</p> <p>Furthermore, the authors should pay attention to the graphical presentation of data, ensuring that graphs. Additionally, the authors should also focus on improving the writing style to avoid any ambiguous interpretations</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Other Minor comments and suggestions are provided with the manuscript with track changes.	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	Authors have to adhere to journal guidelines carefully and strictly. Therefore, please recheck the journal guidelines and references inside the paper to make all these correct properly. Reference should be rechecked and arranged into one format. Some are indifferent arrangements, please make all those correct.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	H. K. S. de Zoysa
Department, University & Country	Rajarata University of Sri Lanka, Sri Lanka