

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_106012
Title of the Manuscript:	Sensory Quality Analysis (Texture) And Moisture Content to Predict the Shelf Life Of Bakpia Filled Seaweed (Eucheuma cottonii)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajfar.com/index.php/AJFAR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>This article is of local interest, however it is important research for shelf life of such product.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>It lacks some explanation in methodology.</p> <p>Yes, but there are some references no used in the text, and another one not in references.</p> <p>1- Symbols in Table (3) are not explained. 2- There are many results mentioned in the Results and Discussion section not mentioned in the Material and Methods section. 3- References in red colour are not mentioned in the text. 4- Accelerated Shelf Life Testing (ASLT); is not explained. How this method was applied to your research article? 5- Arrhenius equation to detect deterioration in quality of such product, please explain how you apply this equation to your results. What are the upper and lower limits of equation to explain good or bad quality of such product? 6- Is Arrhenius equation you apply original or the modified one?</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Needs improvements	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Wafai Z. A. Mikhail
Department, University & Country	Cairo University, Egypt