

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_105940
Title of the Manuscript:	EMPOWERING MINDS and EMPOWERING NATION: INDIA'S NEW EDUCATIONAL POLICY IMPACT ON GLOBAL EMPLOYMENT
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Manuscript important for scientific community.</p> <p>2. The title of the article suitable.</p> <p>3. Abstract to highlight a key points including of short, compact, accurate and complete (Maximum to 250 words).</p> <p>4. Addition in the discussion by explanation and extension of research findings accordant to objectives, and the findings are consistent with past research findings to support in the results as well as citing available sources of the documents, including the recommendation of presenting a way of applying research results that demonstrates the benefits and values under the research findings.</p> <p>5. Manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. Additional references.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pornchai Jedaman
Department, University & Country	Rajabath Mahasarakham University, Thailand