

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_105874
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Students perceived preparedness for e- Learning in Ibadan, Nigeria
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. This research provides useful, and significant information on the level of student's preparedness to use e-learning tools. 2. The title of the article is appropriate. However, since the manuscript also emphasizes on the barrier to e-learning among students, the author should slightly modify the title. 3. The abstract of the article is quite comprehensive. It would be better if the authors could include the significance of the study in the abstract. 4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are quite appropriate. However, it can be properly organized by adding a literature review part. 5. The manuscript is scientifically correct. 6. Good and appropriate citation. The majority of the citations are the latest citations (within 5 years). <p>ADDITIONAL SUGGESTIONS/COMMENTS:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Introduction section <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. The authors need to explain the problem statement and why this study is important to be conducted so that the reader will get a clear picture. ii. The authors need to include information about the importance of students' perceived preparedness for e-learning to match the title. iii. Please replace the suitable citation of Azhari & Ming, 2015 since there was no lockdown yet in 2015. The Covid-19 pandemic emerged at the end of 2019. 2. Literature review section <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. The authors should add this section in the manuscript to support the argument in the discussion section. 3. Methodology section <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. The method employed was appropriate and in line with the objective. 4. Results and discussion section <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. The results were presented and interpreted appropriately. ii. However, the authors need to rephrase the sentences in the first paragraph of the result part since it was quite confusing. iii. Please change the indicator for the level of study from 100, 200, 300, and 400 to the "first year of study" and so on to make it clear in Table 1. iv. The authors need to show the figure in Table 2 to support the statement that "most of the students had knowledge of computers (53.7%)". 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>This manuscript should be proofread because it has numerous grammatical problems and some of the structure of the sentences are difficult to comprehend.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Optional/General comments		
----------------------------------	--	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohd Aidil Riduan Awang Kader
Department, University & Country	Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia