

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_105541
Title of the Manuscript:	IMPACT OF NIGERIAN PETROLEUM OIL PRODUCTION ON NIGERIAN ECONOMY USING COINTEGRATION AND ERROR CORRECTION MODEL
Type of the Article	Research

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljemt.com/index.php/JEMT/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	Yes. This study examined the impact of Nigeria petroleum oil production on Nigeria economy. The concept of Johansen's co-integration is employed on thirty-three years (1981-2013) data on annual Gross domestic product, petroleum oil production and petroleum oil export. Yes. Yes but the title in the abstract should be removed. No. Include literature review section. Yes. No. Include more latest references (year 2023 if any) to support this study.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Minor grammatical mistakes	
Optional/General comments	-check the alignment, font size, font type of the write-up -present the figures clearly	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lam Weng Hoe
Department, University & Country	Universiti Tunku Abdul Rahman, Malaysia