

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_OR_106180</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Determination of Gender Variations Based on Anatomical Shapes of the Orbit on Plain Radiographs</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Short Research article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalor.com/index.php/OR/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p><b>Yes. The manuscript demonstrates scientific concepts in depth and applies these to issues prevalent in society in a cohesive way.</b></p> <p><b>Title is suitable and succinct.</b></p> <p><b>Total word count for the given abstract is 268. Standard word count for abstract is 250.</b></p> <p><b>Yes</b></p> <p><b>The manuscript demonstrates a thorough scientific understanding of the topic. However, scientific writing can be improved.</b></p> <p><b>Reference must follow a referencing style (ex: APA style) and formatting.</b> <b>There are NO references from last 5 years. Do add latest reference (2018-2023)</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p><b>Page 1</b> - 40.3 was written (do add 40.3%)</p> <p><b>Page 6</b> – In table 1 (orbital height for left orbit is 36.4mm &amp; your text shows 36.38mm. [Kindly standardise the numbers] Similarly, for female, the standard deviation for left orbit is 7.1 (in table 1) &amp; 7.2 (in your text). Same applies to p-valve in males and females. Follow the same steps for mean width of the right and left orbits.</p> <p><b>Page 5-</b> write ethics number.</p> <p><b>Page 7-</b> change the percentage from 25.7% to 26.7%</p> <p>[ALL CHANGES ARE HIGHLIGHTED IN THE MANUSCRIPT]</p>	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

## Review Form 1.7

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Snehlata Prashant Samberkar</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universiti Malaya, Malaysia</b>