

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_105189</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Soil application of sea weed extract granules and foliar application of nano ZnO to Bt hybrid cotton to Vertic inceptisols.</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes, the manuscript is very important for scientific community because Bt hybrid cotton demands judicious application of bulky organic manures along with recommended dose of chemical fertilizers, rain water conservation, harvesting and recycling as protective irrigations in case of intermittent droughts.</p> <p>Yes, the title of this article is suitable.</p> <p>Yes, the abstract of this article comprehensive to some extent.</p> <p>Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate to some extent after modification.</p> <p>Yes, I think the manuscript is scientifically correct after modification of some words which it writes by red colour.</p> <p>No, the references are not sufficient and needs to recent until 2023 year but must you repair some words which write by red and review it some authors did not find in the references of this Manuscript which wrote by blue colour, so, please, review it.</p> <p>No, Thanks, these comments are enough.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes, language/ English quality of the article is very good and suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The article idea is very good but some words need to repair it. So, it was repaired it which write by red line.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Mohamed Fathy Zaki Soliman</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>National Research Centre, Egypt</b>