

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_106949
Title of the Manuscript:	Characteristics of fly ash and its influence on the germination of amaranthus seeds
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. As with the generation of waste material and increasing food requirement, the present study is addressing an important issue to solve both problems together. Therefore, this study is important for the scientific community who are thriving to achieve the targets related to augmentation of food crops.</p> <p>2. The title of the article is suitable.</p> <p>3. Abstract is good. However, it is recommended to provide more details such as name of country, and a concluding sentence about why this combination is optimum for best growth of plants.</p> <p>4. Yes, subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate.</p> <p>5. Yes, manuscript is scientifically correct and having value to the relevant community.</p> <p>6. Limited reference are provided therefore, it is suggested to provide more (latest within last 5 years) references covering the area of research addressed in the present manuscript. Please provide the results along with tabular data about the statically analysis reported in the manuscript. Manuscript is well written however, authors need to do above corrections: before acceptance of paper.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Manuscript should be corrected for English grammar and language. Preferably by taking help from a native speaker.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhammad Saleem
Department, University & Country	Jubail University College, Saudi Arabia