

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOB_106190
Title of the Manuscript:	Network Pharmacology approach for Herbal Drugs Intended for the Therapy of Diseases: A Comprehensive Review
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajob.com/index.php/AJOB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1- It is good scientific study ,, but to be acceptable , it needs Minor corrections</p> <p>2- There is no clarification in abstract and results of recent study</p> <p>3- The introduction is good written but not in exact scope of this study ,,it needs</p> <p>4- There is no clear explanation of results of current results</p> <p>5- There are some printing error of some words and terms ,,please re-write them</p> <p>6- There 84 references ,, very very a lot ,, decrease to 40 only ., it is article not thesis</p> <p>7- Add at least other 3 references update (2023)</p> <p>8- There is no compared between recent results and previously studies in same field to improve current results</p> <p>9- I accepted it after minor corrections</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>I accepted it after minor corrections</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nagham Mahmood Aljamali
Department, University & Country	Iraq