

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Food Research and Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFRN_106293
Title of the Manuscript:	Chemical Composition and Sensory Properties of Wheat, African Yam Bean and Tiger Nut Residue Composite Flour Cookies
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalajfrn.com/index.php/AJFRN/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❖ Yes, this is an intriguing paper that attempted to employ a combination of wheat, African yam bean, and tiger nut residual flours to make cookies and examine their chemical and sensory qualities. ❖ Yes, the title properly explains the purpose and objective of the article. ❖ Yes, the abstract offers an accurate summary of the paper, and the language used in the abstract is easy to read and understand. ❖ Yes, the manuscript is well designed and appropriate in terms of structure and organization. ❖ Yes, the manuscript is scientifically outstanding. ❖ Yes, seems adequate and appropriate. ❖ Both additions and corrections were outlined in red color. ❖ Words outlined in yellow should be deleted. ❖ Please re-arrange the keywords alphabetically. ❖ Tables: Clear and comprehensive. However, Table 1 is not cited in the text, so please note that. ❖ Grammar: There are some grammatical errors that need to be corrected throughout the manuscript. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❖ English language needs to improved. 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❖ None 	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Manal Hadi Ghaffoori Kanaan
Department, University & Country	Technical Institute of Suwaria, Middle Technical University, Iraq