

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Food Research and Nutrition</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJFRN_105589</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Pulsed Electric Field and Other Innovations in Electro-Technologies for Food Processing and Extraction of Bioactives from Fruit and Vegetables</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://journalajfrn.com/index.php/AJFRN/editorial-policy>

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p><b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p><b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p><b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p><b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p><b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>Yes, it is important because it gives us the processing options to extend the life of the food and to be able to keep it for much longer, so that it can be kept as free of bacteria that can lead to its putrefaction and thus be able to save it for use them later and not lose them so fast</p> <p>I suggest this title "Principles of application of electric fields and electro-technologies for food processing and extraction of bioactives from fruits and vegetables"</p> <p>yes, it is understandable; It presents some introduction, results and conclusions but it is read or the methodology is explained or the way in which each principle was applied to food or how the elaboration of each equipment used in the procedures to obtain the results was achieved.</p> <p>The structure presented only presents the introduction and the conclusions, but the introduction explains the theory, the principles of each electrical procedure, and the results, but it would have been good if each part was moved for greater understanding.</p> <p>It explains thermal procedures that are scientifically understandable, applicable and reproducible, taking into account the principles of each process.</p> <p>the number of references could be said to be sufficient but all do not comply with the validity of the 5 years</p>	
<p><b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes, the applied technical language makes it possible to understand everything expressed in the research article and therefore for the scientific community</p>	
<p><b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ninoska Margarita Paz Palacios</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universidad Bolivariana De Venezuela, Venezuela</b>