

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Food Research and Nutrition |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJFRN_105589 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Pulsed Electric Field and Other Innovations in Electro-Technologies for Food Processing and Extraction of Bioactives from Fruit and Vegetables |
| Type of the Article | Review Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://journalajfrn.com/index.php/AJFRN/editorial-policy>

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p> | <p>Yes</p> <p>Need clarification. "Extraction" term is not discussed in detail in the manuscript. Related information may be incorporated.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>1.1. is missing</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>References are from 1982-2014. However only 19 references are mentioned. Need more references. Moreover, no references from 2014 was mentioned by the authors.</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | <p>Typographical and alignments errors are found in the paper. Advising the author to rectify the same.</p> <p>Ex: Page 2: 2nd paragraph: To this end...(replace this phrase with better phrase).</p> <p>Page 10: first line surface penetration of ca. (explain this ca.?)</p> <p>Sentences in page 11, 12, 16 are merged (sentences merged). Please check.</p> <p>Page 13: under 1.4...line 3...The pane???</p> | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | <p>1.1. This section is missing.</p> <p>I could not find any information related to extraction of bio-actives. Kindly, include.</p> <p>The resolution of images is less. Kindly provide high resolution as the text in the pictures is blurred. Ex: Fig.1.</p> | |

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | Mohan Gandhi Bonthu |
| Department, University & Country | V.V. Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, India |