

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_106561
Title of the Manuscript:	A Spontaneous Transomental Hernia Through The Greater Omentum
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajcrs.com/index.php/AJCRS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The manuscript reports a rare case and thus, is bound to increase the collective knowledge of the scientific community.</p> <p>2. The title seems to be suitable.</p> <p>3. The abstract is comprehensive.</p> <p>4. The manuscript is appropriately structured.</p> <p>5. The manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. The references are sufficient and fairly recent, but the authors have not adhered to a single referencing style. They are advised to rewrite the references in a single referencing style (preferably AMA).</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The authors are advised to incorporate the following information in their manuscript to further enhance the quality and comprehension of their manuscript:</p> <p>1. The 'Introduction' part should include the precise definition of 'Transomental hernia'.</p> <p>2. The 'Discussion' part should elaborate why transomental hernias are associated with high mortality rates.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Devang Bharti
Department, University & Country	Cantonment General Hospital, India