

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_105995
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF MACHINE LEARNING ALGORITHMS USING COMBINED FEATURE EXTRACTION TECHNIQUES FOR SPEAKER IDENTIFICATION
Type of the Article	Research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	1. Yes, it offers insights into how to improve speaker recognition systems by comparing various feature extraction methods and machine learning algorithms. 2. Yes it is well suited to article 3. Yes, the abstract of the article appears to be comprehensive, it better to rewrite it in single paragraph, avoid separately heading in abstract. 4. Yes, it seems good, but try to shorten it. It included too much theory. 5. Yes it is, need to improve, check font size and punctuations properly 6. Add more references, cite all figures and references properly	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	A lot of grammatical error is there	
Optional/General comments	Make this paper short, remove grammatical errors, punctuation error and add more references Figures are not cited, the size of all figures must be standard,	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Chandar Kumar
Department, University & Country	Indus University, Pakistan