

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Engineering Research and Reports</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JERR_105783</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Green Growth and Sustainable Development in Nigeria</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Minireview Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  1. <b>Is the manuscript important for the scientific community?</b> (Please write a few sentences on this manuscript)  2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions for additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	1. <b>This manuscript is definitely important for the scientific community.</b>  2. <b>Yes, the title is appropriate for this article.</b>  3. <b>Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive.</b>  4. <b>Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</b>  5. <b>Indeed, this manuscript is scientifically correct.</b>  6. <b>no please provide more recent references.</b>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  1. <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Grammar should be improved.	

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>F.A. Samiul Islam</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Uttara University, Bangladesh</b>