

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_105702
Title of the Manuscript:	Applicability of the 1470 Nm Diode Laser in Facial Aesthetics: An Evidence-Based Review Review Article
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The manuscript titled "Applicability of the 1470 Nm Diode Laser in Facial Aesthetics: An Evidence-Based Review" addresses an important and relevant topic in the field of aesthetic medicine. With the increasing demand for minimally invasive procedures and the desire for facial rejuvenation, the use of diode lasers, specifically the 1470 nm wavelength, has gained attention. The manuscript reviews the available evidence on the use of this technology for sub dermal lipolysis and facial rejuvenation.</p> <p>2. The title of the article, "Applicability of the 1470 Nm Diode Laser in Facial Aesthetics: An Evidence-Based Review," is suitable for the content and purpose of the manuscript.</p> <p>3. The abstract of the article provides a fairly comprehensive overview of the study's objectives, methodology, and key findings.</p> <p>4. The subsections and overall structure of the manuscript appear to be well-organized and appropriate for the subject matter.</p> <p>5. The manuscript presents data from multiple studies, each with its own methodology and results.</p> <p>6. The references cited in the manuscript are relevant to the topic and help support the discussion and findings presented.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>While the article is generally well-written, minor language revisions like these could enhance the clarity and readability of the manuscript in the context of scholarly communication.</p> <p>In the "METHODOLOGY" section, there are several subsections labelled "2.1.1 Eligibility Criteria" and "2.1.1.1 Levels of Evidence," which might cause confusion due to the similar subsection numbering. To enhance clarity, consider revising them to "2.1.1 Eligibility Criteria" and "2.1.2 Levels of Evidence."</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Priyadharshini Arjunan
Department, University & Country	AIMST University, Malaysia