

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Advances in Microbiology</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JAMB_104992</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>DETERMINATION OF VIRULENCE GENES IN EXTENDED SPECTRUM BETA LACTAMASE-PRODUCING E.COLI ISOLATED FROM FRESH LEAFY VEGETABLES SOLD IN RIVERS STATE, NIGERIA.</b>
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamb.com/index.php/JAMB/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments 1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> 4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> 5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> 6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	<b>Yes, it is because the findings in this manuscript is an awakening call to the scientific community to turn it search light in the direction of finding solution to the problem that had been identified in this manuscript</b>  <b>Yes</b>  <b>No</b>  <b>No</b>  <b>Yes</b>  <b>Yes</b>  <b>The abstract should be explicitly comprehensive but in this manuscript the abstract didn't mention one of the important aspect of the work, which is the molecular (PCR, Gel electrophoresis, DNA extraction e t.c ).</b>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments 1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Okposhi, Usman Shuaibu</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Federal University of Lafia, Nigeria</b>