

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Research and Reports in Hematology</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJR2H_105517</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>EFFECTS OF OCCUPATIONAL CHEMICALS EXPOSURE ON DIABETES MELLITUS: A Review</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljr2h.com/index.php/IJR2H/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Considering the aging of the population and the importance of diabetes, this study can be useful.</li> <li>- Correct the title: based on a review study</li> <li>- In the introduction part of the abstract, the importance of work and exposure to these chemical substances should be mentioned very briefly</li> <li>- The method of conducting and implementing a review study is very clear, but there is no explanation given here at all?</li> <li>- The application and result of this study should be written.</li> <li>- Write the keywords</li> <li>- It is not enough to study the epidemiology of the disease only in Africa, it should be reported in the whole world by 2023</li> <li>- Realization means how many sources there is only one?!</li> <li>- Epidemiology and burden of disease should be mentioned in the above section in the introduction, not again with a separate title?</li> <li>- Write the necessity of conducting the study</li> <li>- In the introduction part, the explanation is too much, you should focus on the main subject of the study</li> <li>- This file does not have the format of writing an article?</li> <li>- As if the book is a report</li> <li>- The introduction should be according to the principles of essay writing</li> <li>- The working method part should be separated with details</li> <li>- And the sampling method and entry and exit criteria should be written</li> <li>- T The discussion of the article should be based on the results of the study</li> <li>- The discussion should be consistent with other similar studies and the result of this study should be in line with the results of this study, and if it is against it, it should be written inconsistently and the researcher's own reasons should be given.his article's method and results are not clear?</li> <li>- The discussion of the article should be based on the results of the study</li> <li>- The discussion should be consistent with other similar studies and the result of this study should be in line with the results of this study, and if it is against it, it should be written inconsistently and the researcher's own reasons should be given.</li> <li>- Complete the study conclusion</li> <li>- Uses of the study should be written</li> <li>- Update sources</li> <li>- according to the format of the magazine</li> <li>-</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	In terms of language, it should be nativeized by a language specialist	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Fatemeh Darabi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Asadabad School of Medocal Sciences, Iran</b>