

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_106251
Title of the Manuscript:	“Impact of organic manure and inorganic fertilizers on growth and yield of Radish (<i>Raphanus sativus</i> L.) in Gird region of Madhya Pradesh.”
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1-Yes, It seems that the manuscript can have important effects for the scientific community. 2-the effect of organic manure and inorganic fertilizers on growth and yield of Radish (<i>Raphanus sativus</i> L.) in Gird region of Madhya Pradesh 3-The abstract needs correction 4-no, Related comments are placed in the text. 5-yes, But it seems, it is not done properly 6- No, the number of references is low, Some references are anonymous which need to be replaced with appropriate references The purpose of this scientific research is; But it seems that it is not analyzed correctly. Therefore, it is suggested to do a general revision in the materials and methods, results and discussion section. There are many comments in the text; Therefore, it is recommended to be read.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	No, is medium	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohsen Azarnia
Department, University & Country	Gonbad Kavous University, Iran