

Effect of organic manures and inorganic fertilizers on soil physical properties & nutrients content and uptake of summer green gram

Abstract:

The investigation was carried out during *summer* season. Water holding capacity, bulk density, porosity of soil was not significantly influenced by application of organic and inorganic manures at harvest. Application of vermicompost @ 1.0 t ha⁻¹ recorded significantly higher nitrogen content & uptake in seed and stover and potassium content in stover of green gram which was at par with incorporation of FYM @ 4 t ha⁻¹ but phosphorus content & uptake was not significantly influenced by either incorporation of FYM or vermicompost or inorganic fertilizer. The use of 100 % RDF (20 kg N + 40 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹) resulted in significantly higher uptake of nitrogen and potassium by seed, stover and total uptake by crop and were at par with the application of 75 % RDF (15 kg N + 30 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹). Nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium content & uptake in seed, stover and total uptake of green gram was significantly higher recorded with the incorporation of FYM @ 4 t ha⁻¹ which was at par with application of vermicompost @ 1 t ha⁻¹. The interactive effect of both organic and inorganic fertilizers was found to be non-significant with respect to soil physical properties and nutrients content in seed, stover and total uptake of green gram at harvest.

Keyword: *Green gram, FYM, Vermicompost, WHC, Bulk density, Porosity, RDF, nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, content & uptake*

Introduction:

Green gram is an important pulse crop of India as it is the third rank among pulse crops. It is grown on an area of 3.51 million ha with total production of 2.25 million tonnes and productivity of 539 kg/ha (Anon., 2020). In Gujarat, it is cultivated in about 1.35 lakh hectares with an annual production of 1.04 lakh tonnes and average productivity of 772 kg/ha (Anon., 2020). It is grown principally for protein rich edible seeds which contain 24% crude protein, 56.7% carbohydrates, 1.3% fats, 3.5% minerals, 0.43% lysine, 0.1% methionine and 0.04% tryptophan (Kharadi and Bhuriya, 2020). In Gujarat, green gram is grown in the districts of Kutch, Banaskantha, Mehsana and Panchmahals in kharif season under inadequate and erratic rainfall. However, it is grown in very large area in summer season in Kheda, Vadodara and

Panchmahals districts. The protein content of green gram is two to three times more than cereals. Being a legume, it adds nitrogen in the soil.

Organic materials hold great promise as a source of multiple nutrients and ability to improve soil characteristics (Kharadi and Bhuriya, 2020). According to Sanchez (1976), 60 to 80 % of soil phosphorus is of organic origin. Thus, inadequate amount of organic matter in soil will show nutrient deficiencies. These deficiencies can be warded off by regular application of manures. Soil fertility management plays a pivotal role in increasing green gram production. This involves adequate and balanced nutrient supply. Therefore, soil quality is determined by the efficient use of plant nutrients through judiciously balanced and integrated use of all possible organic resources in conjunction with minimum chemical fertilizers. Even application of recommended dose of NPK fails to sustain soil quality and crop production (Tiwari, 2008).

The need for accelerating fertilizer response through site specific balanced and adequate nutrient application is an essential pre-requisite. Optimum fertilizer application in the form of organic and inorganic fertilizers or bio-fertilizers is one of the well-established techniques for increasing crop production. Farm yard manure and vermicompost are the sources of primary, secondary and micro nutrients to the plant growth. They are the constant sources of energy for heterotrophic microorganisms, help in increasing the availability of nutrients, quality and quantity of crop produce. Integrated nutrient management involving organic manures, chemical and bio fertilizers all are used together to achieve sustained crop production and maintain soil health (Kharadi and Bhuriya, 2020).

Material method:

The field experiment was carried out at College Agronomy Farm, B.A. College of Agriculture, Anand Agricultural University, Anand during summer season. The experiment was laid out Randomized Block Design (Factorial) with no. of treatments 12 & four replication. Among Organic manure, treatments of M₃: Vermicompost @ 1 t ha⁻¹ and M₂: FYM @ 4 t ha⁻¹ compared with M₁: Control. In the inorganic fertilizer's treatments F₁: Control, F₂: 50% RDF, F₃: 75% RDF, F₄: 100% RDF. Recommended dose of fertilizers 20 kg N: 40 kg P₂O₅: 0 K₂O ha⁻¹. Soil samples were collected at harvest from the surface soil (0-15) using pipe auger and collected in polyethylene bags. Water holding capacity and bulk density of soil were determined by using standard methods from soil at 1 DAS and at harvest. Nitrogen from plant sample was determined after cutting and recorded in percentage using Kjeldahl's method. Phosphorus and

potassium contents were estimated by using Vando-Molybdo phosphoric acid yellow color method in HNO₃ and flame photometric method as described by Jackson, respectively. The nutrient uptake was worked out by employing the following formula, Nutrient uptake = [Dry matter yield (q ha⁻¹) x Nutrient content (%)]/100

Result and discussion

Effect of organic manures & inorganic fertilizers on water holding capacity of soil

Water holding capacity of soil was influenced by application of organic manures at harvest. The water holding capacity of soil found higher under the application of vermicompost @ 1 t ha⁻¹ (M3) *fb* application of farm yard manure @ 4 t ha⁻¹ (M2) (Table 1). Water holding capacity of soil was through not significantly influenced by either application of FYM or vermicompost at harvest. The results are in close conformity with the findings of Damor *et al.* (2020). They observed increased in water holding capacity of soil with the application of vermicompost. The result (Table 1) revealed that the application of inorganic fertilizers was found to be non-significant with respect to water holding capacity of soil at harvest. Interaction effect of organic manures and inorganic fertilizes with respect to water holding capacity of soil at harvest was found to be non-significant.

Effect of organic manures & inorganic fertilizers on bulk density (g cc⁻¹) of soil

The results (Table 1) revealed that there were non-significant differences in bulk density of soil under various applications of organic manures at harvest of the crop. However, bulk density of soil was slightly lower recorded in soil under the application of FYM as well as in the application of vermicompost. Similar results were also obtained by Damor *et al.* (2022). They found slight decrease in the bulk density where vermicompost or farm yard manure was amended in soil over inorganic fertilizers. The data presented in Table 1 showed that the differences in bulk density of soil among the treatments of inorganic fertilizers at harvest were found to be non-significant. However slightly higher bulk density was found under the treatments of inorganic fertilizers as compared to control in soil samples collected at the time of harvest. The interactive effect of organic manures and inorganic fertilizers on soil bulk density determinate at harvest was found to be non-significant.

Effect of organic manures & inorganic fertilizers on porosity (%) of soil

Data presented in Table 1 revealed that porosity (%) of soil was influenced by application of organic manures at harvest. The porosity of soil found the higher under the application of

vermicompost @ 1 t ha⁻¹ *fb* application of farm yard manure @ 4 t ha⁻¹. Porosity of soil was through not significantly influenced by either application of FYM or vermicompost at harvest. The results are in close conformity with the findings of Sharma *et al.* (2003) and Manivannan *et al.* (2009). They observed improved in soil porosity with the application of vermicompost. Data presented in Table 1 revealed that application of inorganic fertilizers was found to be non-significant with respect to porosity of soil at harvest. This result is in agreement with the findings of Maji and Mandal (2004) who reported changing in soil porosity due to long term application of 100 % NPK for ten years in paddy-oat and paddy berseem cropping system. The interaction effect of organic manures and inorganic fertilizes with respect to porosity of soil at harvest was found to be non-significant (Table 1).

Effect of organic manures & inorganic fertilizers on N content (%) and uptake from plant

A perusal of data given in Table 2 revealed that the influence of organic manures was found significant for nitrogen content in green gram Seed and Stover at harvest. Application of vermicompost @ 1.0 t ha⁻¹ recorded significantly the higher nitrogen content in green gram seed (3.87 %) and Stover (0.82 %) at harvest which was at par with the application of FYM @ 4 t ha⁻¹. These results are in agreement with the findings of Purkayastha and Menon (1984). An analysis of the data on nitrogen uptake by green gram seed and stover as well as total uptake by crop as influenced by organic manures showed their significant effect. Application of vermicompost @ 1 t ha⁻¹ registered significantly the higher nitrogen uptake by grain and total uptake by crop which was at par with application of FYM @ 4 t ha⁻¹. While uptake of nitrogen by stover of green gram was significantly higher in application of FYM @ 4 t ha⁻¹ which was at par with application of vermicompost @ 1 t ha⁻¹. This indicated more availability of nitrogen in soil at vegetative growth stage in application of FYM or vermicompost as compare to control. Growth of green gram was influenced by organic manures which increased uptake of nitrogen by plants. Significantly the lowest nitrogen uptake was noticed under no manure (control) treatment in seed and stover of green gram. These results are in agreement with the findings of Sutaria *et al.* (2010) who reported higher uptake of nitrogen by green gram crop with the application of organic manures as compared to control at Targhadia (Gujarat).

The data presented in Table 2 indicated that nitrogen content of seed and stover were not significantly modified by inorganic fertilizers. Among different levels of fertilizers, nitrogen content in seed and stover were increased with increasing levels of fertilizers. Higher nitrogen

content in seed and stover were observed with 100 % RDF application to the green gram. Nitrogen uptake by seed and stover as well as total uptake of nitrogen by green gram crop were significantly higher recorded with the 100 % RDF which was at par with RDF applied @ 75 %. Plant growth of green gram was influenced by application of fertilizers applied @ 75 and 100 % RDF which increased the uptake of nitrogen. These results are in agreement with the finding of Kumawat *et al.* (2010) who reported maximum uptake of nitrogen by seed and stover of green gram with application of 20 kg N + 30 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹. Data presented in Table.2 revealed that interaction effect of organic manures and inorganic fertilizers was found to be non-significant with respect to nitrogen content in seed and stover of green gram and uptake of nitrogen by seed and stover as well as total uptake of nitrogen by crop at harvest.

Effect of organic manures & inorganic fertilizers on P content (%) and uptake from plant

Phosphorus content analyzed from seed and stover of green gram samples collected at harvest found to be non- significant due to application of organic manures. Application of vermicompost @ 1 t ha⁻¹ recorded higher phosphorus content in seed (0.37 %) and stover (0.17 %) of green gram fb the application of FYM @ 4 t ha⁻¹ at harvest. An analysis of the data on phosphorus uptake by seed and stover of green gram and total uptake by crop as influenced by organic manures showed their significant effect. Incorporation of FYM @ 4 t ha⁻¹ registered significantly higher phosphorus uptake by seed and stover as well as total uptake by crop which was at par with application of vermicompost @ 1 t ha⁻¹. Application of FYM and vermicompost increased phosphorous content in soil which showed beneficial effect on phosphorous content of green gram as compared to control (no manure). seed and stover yield of green gram was higher in application of FYM @ 4 t ha⁻¹ therefore, uptake of phosphorous found higher as compared to application of vermicompost. Plant growth was also influenced by application of organic manures. These results are in agreement with the findings of Das *et al.* (2002) who also reported higher phosphorus uptake by green gram crop with incorporation of vermicompost and Jat *et al.* (2012 a) reported higher phosphorus uptake by seed and stover of green gram by application of FYM @ 5 t ha⁻¹ (Table 2).

The data presented in Table 2 indicated that phosphorous content in seed and stover of green gram were not significantly affected by application of inorganic fertilizers. Phosphorus content in seed and stover of green gram as well as uptake of phosphorus by seed and stover and total uptake of phosphorus by green gram crop is increased with increasing levels of fertilizers

and higher found with 100 % RDF application. The results are in close agreement with the finding of Kumawat *et al.* (2010) who reported higher phosphorus uptake in seed and stover with the application of 100 % RDF (20 kg N + 30 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹) *fb* 50 % RDF in green gram grown on loamy sand soil. Data presented in Table. 2 indicate that interaction effect of organic and inorganic fertilizers on phosphorus content & uptake by seed and stover of green gram was found non-significant.

Effect of organic manures & inorganic fertilizers on K content (%) and uptake from plant

Data relating to potassium content in seed and stover of green gram and uptake of potassium by green gram (Table 2) revealed that significantly the higher potassium content in seed of green gram were recorded in the incorporation of FYM @ 4 t ha⁻¹ which was at par with application of vermicompost @ 1 t ha⁻¹ while in stover, phosphorus content was higher with application of vermicompost @ 1 t ha⁻¹ and was at par with incorporation of FYM @ 4 t ha⁻¹. Significantly higher potassium uptake by seed and stover as well as total uptake by green gram crop at harvest were recorded with incorporation of FYM @ 4 t ha⁻¹ which was at par with application of vermicompost @ 1 t ha⁻¹. Plant growth is greatly influenced by application of organic manures which directly influenced the uptake of nutrients by crop. These results are in agreement with the findings of Ghanshyam *et al.* (2010) and Jat *et al.* (2012 a).

The results revealed that potassium content in seed and stover of green gram analyzed at harvest was not significantly influenced by application of inorganic fertilizers. Potassium content in seed and stover were increased with increasing levels of fertilizers and higher potassium content found with 100 % RDF application to the green gram. In the case of uptake of potassium by seed and stover of green gram and total uptake of potassium by crop was found significantly due to application of inorganic fertilizers. Significantly higher uptake of potassium by seed and stover as well as total uptake of potassium by crop were recorded with 100 % RDF which was at par with application of 75 % RDF. Application of nitrogen and phosphorus influencing plant growth due to better nutrient in soil and provided balance nutrient to the crop hence uptake was higher with 100 % RDF applied to the crop. This results are in conformity with the finding of Ram and Dixit (2000) and Singh *et al.* (2009). Data presented in Table. 2 revealed that interaction effect of organic and inorganic fertilizers on potassium content & uptake by seed and stover of green gram was found non-significant.

References:

- Anonymous, 2020 (database). <http://eands.dacnet.nic.in>, accessed on 10th January, 2022.
- Das, P. K., Sarangi, D., Jena, M. K. and Mohanty, S. (2002). Response of green gram (*Vigna radiate* L.) to integrated application of vermicompost and chemical fertilizer in acid lateritic soil. *Indian Agriculturist*, 46 (1/2): 79-87.
- Damor, V. G., Bhuriya, K. P., Nagar, V. L and Kumawat, P. D. (2022). Effect of Herbicides Applied with and without Manures on Physical Soil Properties of Summer Pearl Millet. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 11(6): 177-181.
- Ghanshyam, R. K. and Jat. R. K. (2010). Productivity and soil fertility as affected by organic manures and inorganic fertilizer in green gram- wheat system. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*, 55 (1): 16-21.
- Jackson, M. L. (1973). Soil chemical analysis, Prentice-Hall of India Private Ltd., New Delhi.
- Jat, R. A., Arvadia, M. K., Tandel, B. Patel, T. U. and Mehta, R. S. (2012 a). Response of saline water irrigated green gram (*Vigna radiate* L.) to land configuration, fertilizers and farm yard manure in Tapi command area of south Gujarat. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*, 57 (3):270-274.
- Kumawat, A., Pareek, B. L. and Yadav, R. S. (2010). Response of green gram (*Vigna radiate* L.) to bio fertilizers under different fertility levels. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 80 (7): 655-657.
- Kharadi, R. R and Bhuriya, K. P (2020). Effect of organic and inorganic fertilizers on soil chemical properties of summer green gram. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, 9 (2): 432-435.
- Maji, N. C. and Mandal, S. R. (2004). Effect of long-term application of fertilizers and manure on chemical and physical properties of soil. *Environment and Ecology*, 22 (3): 430-434.
- Manivannan, S., Balamurugan, M., Parthasaathi, K., Gunasekaran, G. and Ranganathan, L. S. (2009). Effect of vermicompost on soil fertility and crop productivity of bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L). *Journal of Environment Biology*, 30 (2): 275-281.
- Purkayastha P. P. and Menon, U. (1984). Extraneous supply of organic additives affecting nodulation, phytoalexin synthesis and diseases susceptibility of soyabean. *Journal of Tropical Plant Diseases* (2) 9-16.

- Ram, S. N. and Dixit, R. S. (2000). Effect of date of showing and phosphorus on nodulation, uptake of nutrient and yield of summer green gram (*Vigna radiata*, L.). *Crop Research*, 19 (3):414-417.
- Sanchez, P. A. (1976). Properties and management of soils in tropic. John Willey and sons, New York, USA.
- Sharma, D. P., Sharma, T. R., Agarwal, S. B. and Rawat, A. (2003). Differential response of turmeric to organic and inorganic fertilizers. *JNKVV Research Journal*, 37(2): 7-19.
- Singh, J. Bharose, R. and Thomas, T. (2009). Effect of Rhizobium inoculation and different levels of phosphorus on the yield, nutrients uptake of green gram (*vigna radiate* L.) cv. K-851 and on chemical properties of soil. *New Agriculturist*, 20 (1/2): 83-86.
- Sutaria, G. S., Akbari, K. N., Vora, D. S. Hirapara, R. P. and Padmani, D. R. (2010). Response of legume crops to enriched compost and vermicompost on Vertic Ustochrept under rain fed Agriculture. *Legume Research*, 33 (2): 128-130.
- Tiwari, K. N. (2008). Future plant nutrition research in India. *Journal of Indian Society of Soil Science*, 56: 327-336.

TABLE: 1 Effect of organic manures & inorganic fertilizers on physical properties of soil.

| Treatments | WHC (%) | Bulk density (g cc ⁻¹) | Porosity (%) |
|--|---------|------------------------------------|--------------|
| Organic Manures (M) | | | |
| M ₁ : control (No manure) | 39.99 | 1.35 | 45.53 |
| M ₂ : FYM @ 4 t ha ⁻¹ | 40.27 | 1.33 | 46.99 |
| M ₃ : Vermicompost @ 1 ha ⁻¹ | 40.64 | 1.34 | 45.98 |
| S.Em. ± | 0.49 | 0.008 | 0.76 |
| C.D. at 5 % | NS | NS | NS |

| Inorganic Fertilizers (F) | | | |
|---|-------|-------|-------|
| F ₁ : Control (No Fertilizers) | 39.49 | 1.33 | 46.72 |
| F ₂ : 50 % RDF | 39.98 | 1.34 | 47.30 |
| F ₃ : 75 % RDF | 40.67 | 1.36 | 46.16 |
| F ₄ : 100 % RDF | 41.07 | 1.35 | 44.48 |
| S.Em. ± | 0.57 | 0.009 | 0.88 |
| C.D. at 5 % | NS | NS | NS |
| Interaction (M x F) | NS | NS | NS |
| C V % | 4.90 | 2.56 | 6.61 |

Table 2. Effect of integrated nutrients management on NPK content (%) and uptake of plant

| Treatments | N content (%) | | N Uptake (kg ha ⁻¹) | | Total N uptake (kg ha ⁻¹) | P content (%) | | P Uptake (kg ha ⁻¹) | | Total P uptake (kg ha ⁻¹) | K content (%) | | P Uptake (kg ha ⁻¹) | | Total K uptake (kg ha ⁻¹) |
|--|---------------|--------|---------------------------------|--------|---------------------------------------|---------------|--------|---------------------------------|--------|---------------------------------------|---------------|--------|---------------------------------|--------|---------------------------------------|
| | | | Seed | Stover | | Seed | Stover | Seed | Stover | | Seed | Stover | Seed | Stover | |
| | Seed | Stover | Seed | Stover | Seed | Stover | Seed | Stover | Seed | Stover | Seed | Stover | Seed | Stover | |
| Organic Manures (M) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| M ₁ : Control | 3.45 | 0.75 | 23.09 | 9.56 | 32.65 | 0.35 | 0.15 | 2.29 | 1.98 | 4.26 | 0.62 | 1.42 | 4.11 | 18.29 | 22.39 |
| M ₂ : FYM @ 4 t ha ⁻¹ | 3.57 | 0.81 | 26.79 | 12.04 | 38.83 | 0.37 | 0.16 | 2.80 | 2.45 | 5.25 | 0.69 | 1.52 | 5.18 | 22.60 | 27.78 |
| M ₃ : Vermicompost @ 1 ha ⁻¹ | 3.87 | 0.82 | 28.71 | 11.67 | 40.38 | 0.37 | 0.17 | 2.76 | 2.27 | 5.03 | 0.68 | 1.54 | 5.01 | 21.72 | 26.71 |
| S.Em. ± | 0.09 | 0.02 | 1.27 | 0.47 | 1.52 | 0.01 | 0.005 | 0.15 | 0.12 | 0.24 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.19 | 0.92 | 0.99 |
| C.D. at 5 % | 0.26 | 0.06 | 3.65 | 1.34 | 4.37 | NS | NS | 0.41 | 0.34 | 0.69 | 0.05 | 0.13 | 0.53 | 2.63 | 2.85 |
| Inorganic fertilizer (F) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| F ₁ : Control (No fertilizers) | 3.59 | 0.76 | 24.48 | 10.46 | 34.94 | 0.35 | 0.15 | 2.41 | 2.09 | 4.50 | 0.65 | 1.46 | 4.58 | 19.15 | 23.72 |
| F ₂ : 50 % RDF | 3.64 | 0.79 | 25.19 | 10.26 | 35.46 | 0.35 | 0.15 | 2.51 | 2.12 | 4.63 | 0.66 | 1.47 | 4.46 | 19.76 | 24.23 |
| F ₃ : 75 % RDF | 3.64 | 0.80 | 26.01 | 11.15 | 37.16 | 0.36 | 0.16 | 2.58 | 2.21 | 4.80 | 0.66 | 1.50 | 4.67 | 21.24 | 25.90 |
| F ₄ : 100 % RDF | 3.66 | 0.81 | 29.09 | 12.47 | 41.56 | 0.37 | 0.16 | 2.94 | 2.49 | 5.44 | 0.67 | 1.52 | 5.34 | 23.33 | 28.66 |
| S.Em. ± | 0.10 | 0.02 | 1.46 | 0.54 | 1.75 | 0.001 | 0.006 | 0.17 | 0.14 | 0.27 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.22 | 1.05 | 1.45 |
| C.D. at 5 % | NS | NS | 4.36 | 1.55 | 5.04 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | 0.60 | 3.04 | 3.29 |
| Interaction (M x F) | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| C. V. % | 10.25 | 10.10 | 14.39 | 10.88 | 10.29 | 13.36 | 14.10 | 11.83 | 11.35 | 10.80 | 10.27 | 11.15 | 10.31 | 11.49 | 10.46 |