

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | International Journal of Environment and Climate Change |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_IJECC_106647 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Acute toxicity of commonly used insecticides to pumpkin beetle, <i>Aulacophora foveicollis</i> Lucas |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1::

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|--|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p> | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Pest population control is of paramount importance to maintaining the sustainability of food security. This study analyses a specific aspect of pest control whose experimental design may be repeated not only for <i>Aulacophora foveicollis</i>, but other insect pest species as well. 2. Suggested Title: An analysis of the acute toxicity of commonly used insecticides to control populations of <i>Aulacophora foveicollis</i> 3. The abstract should have a sentence or two about the bigger picture of the importance of the research. Feel free to use ideas from #1 to add to the abstract. 4. Please keep the numbering consistent for the section headings. 5. The data analysis in the manuscript is comprehensive and appears to be accurate. 6. The dates and diversity of the reference list is fine. 7. Be sure the reference list is formatted consistently and correctly 8. Use "may" instead of "can" when writing scientific papers. 9. Make sure the spacing in the document between sections is done consistently. 10. Make sure the spacing between paragraphs is correct 11. I think the conclusion should be a paragraph, and should mirror what is stated in the abstract a bit more. 12. Note that "percent" is one word. | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | Yes, the English is of good quality, but please see suggestions for some improvement. | |
| Optional/General comments | | |

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|----------------------|
| Name: | Jaime Thissen |
| Department, University & Country | United States |