

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | International Journal of Environment and Climate Change |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_IJECC_105944 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Analysis of Constraints on Production and Marketing of Tasar and Mulberry Silk In Chhattisgarh |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Moderately</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) No</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? No</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? No</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? moderately</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. No</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Author didn't follow the journal guideline | <p>One possible limitation of the article is that it does not provide any empirical evidence or data to support its claims about the constraints on production and marketing of tasar and mulberry silk in Chhattisgarh. The article only mentions the constraints in a descriptive manner, without citing any sources or conducting any surveys or interviews with the cocoon growers or other stakeholders. The article also lacks a clear research methodology, objectives, and hypothesis. Therefore, the article may not be very reliable or valid, and it may not contribute much to the existing literature on sericulture.</p> <p>Suggesting Title "Assessing Barriers to Production and Marketing of Tasar and Mulberry Silkworm Cocoons in Selected Districts of Chhattisgarh, India"</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? moderately</p> | | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | <p>The study "Analysis of constraints on production and marketing of tasar and mulberry silk in Chhattisgarh" has several limitations that need to be considered:</p> <p>Limited scope: The study only focuses on four districts in Chhattisgarh, which may not be representative of the entire state or other regions where tasar and mulberry silk production takes place.</p> <p>Small sample size: The study only collects data from 160 cocoon growers, which may not be sufficient to capture the diversity of experiences and challenges faced by cocoon growers in the region.</p> <p>Data collection method: The study relies on interview schedules/questionnaires to collect data, which may be subject to bias and may not capture the nuances of the challenges faced by cocoon growers.</p> <p>Lack of quantitative data: The study does not provide any quantitative data to support its findings, which may limit the accuracy and reliability of the conclusions drawn from the study.</p> <p>Limited focus on marketing constraints: While the study identifies several marketing constraints faced by cocoon growers, it does not provide a detailed analysis of the marketing chain and the factors that affect the price received by cocoon growers.</p> <p>Lack of analysis of the impact of government policies: The study does not analyze the impact of government policies and initiatives on the production and marketing of tasar and mulberry silk in the region, which may be an important factor affecting the industry.</p> <p>Limited recommendations: The study's recommendations are limited to providing adequate knowledge to cocoon growers, which may not address the broader issues affecting the industry, such as market access, pricing, and infrastructure.</p> <p>Overall, while the study provides some useful insights into the challenges faced by cocoon growers in Chhattisgarh, it has several limitations that need to be considered when interpreting its findings and making policy decisions.</p> | |

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | Tsegamariam Dula Sherka |
| Department, University & Country | Center for Rural Development, Addis Abeba University, Wolkite University, Ethiopia |