

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_105944
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis of Constraints on Production and Marketing of Tasar and Mulberry Silk In Chhattisgarh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Sericulture is agri based cottage industry which provides significant economic impacts. India is the second largest producer of silk grower as well as consumption of silk is also high. To produce higher quantity of silk needs scientific and technical knowledge in field level. Most of the silk cocoon producers are from rural area only they not practicing the sericulture in scientific manner, to rectify this problem the ground level study is need. The current study will help to farmers to do the sericulture in scientifically. 2. The Title of the article may be changed to "Analysis of constraints in Tasar and Mulberry sericulture practicing farmers in Chhattisgarh at Production and Marketing levels". 3. The abstract of the article is need to rewrite to improve the English. 4. Problem of the study is not focused in the introduction part. It is only focused on the way sericulture is evolved and it is not relevant to the title. Methodology adopted for this study is appropriate. 5. The manuscript is scientifically correct. Even though it needs overall improvement. 6. Many studies are available related to constraints faced by sericulture farmers. Kindly refer it and incorporate in discussion part. Currently discussed articles are not enough to make sound of this paper. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	English of the article may be improved still better for easy understanding to scientific peoples.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	Conclusion part may be given in the form of paragraph	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	E. Arasakumar
Department, University & Country	Forest College and Research Institute, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, India