

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_105908
Title of the Manuscript:	Scientific Approaches for Mass Production, Cold Storage and Release of <i>Trichogramma</i>: Their Role in Insect Pest Management
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>For the scientific community, this strategy represents a significant advance in the search for sustainable alternatives to conventional pesticides. The study and implementation of <i>Trichogramma</i> not only demonstrate the ability of science to address complex problems, but also support the idea that collaboration between research and agricultural practice can lead to safer and more balanced methods for the control of pests. Furthermore, by contributing to the sustainable development of agriculture, scientists can play a key role in preserving long-term agricultural productivity, protecting the environment and global food security. In this context, the adoption of scientific approaches based on <i>Trichogramma</i> represents a milestone in the continuous improvement of agricultural practices and highlights the positive impact that research can have on the community and the environment in general.</p> <p>The title seems very long to me, and could be summed up in a short sentence. I suggest the title: "<i>Trichogramma</i>: Science for the management of insect pests"</p> <p>The abstract presents complete information on the topic of the article.</p> <p>The subsections and structure of the manuscript seem adequate for a review article. It could improve its quality through a style correction</p> <p>The manuscript has pertinence and scientific relevance. The adoption of scientific approaches for the management of crop pest insects represents a milestone in the continuous improvement of agricultural practices, and highlights the positive impact that research can have on the community and biodiversity conservation.</p> <p>Regarding the bibliographical references, I think they should do a general review, considering that there is a mixture of different styles. In order to improve the quality of the document, they should adjust the bibliographical references to a single format, following what is suggested by the journal. Y to improve the quality of the manuscript, I suggest an update of the bibliographical references.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The quality of the language seems adequate to me; however, I am not an expert in English grammar.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The topic of the manuscript, in addition to being interesting, has relevance at a global level, which is why it deserves attention.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jesus Ballesteros Correa
Department, University & Country	Universidad de Córdoba, Colombia