

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_106671
Title of the Manuscript:	Map of actors, interpretation of the diagram elaborated and sequencing of their actions
Type of the Article	Research

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes. Used to analyse the sequence of activity in various sectors.</p> <p>Interpretation and sequence of actions using Stakeholder mapping</p> <p>No</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Suppose more no of actors(1000) , what is the software tool used?</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Elaborate the sub sections(qualitative and quantitative analysis)</p> <p>Kindly change the introduction part. evaluation criteria for stakeholder analysis</p> <p>Introduction</p> <p>Alomá Bello, Crespo Díaz, González Hernández, y Estévez Pérez, (2022) in their article indicate that active learning is associated with the student selecting the information they need and thus being able to address a problem that has been presented to them. Guerrero, y del Campo Lafita, (2019:134) in their proposal refer that in collaborative learning, group activities are identified where the teacher interacts with the participants to address projects.</p> <p>As previous antecedents in the use of Mapping of Actors, it is recognized that of Figari, y Pereira,(2020:7) in a study conducted in Uruguay identify four sectors for their study but respect the three circles where the closest to the center is associated with the greatest relationship with the situation raised and the outermost the furthest away.</p> <p>This part must be change into “ Review of Literature”</p> <p>Finally, an online form was sent to see the perception of the experience. 50% indicated that they were able to identify actors, 60% examined the role of each one, 40% the visualization in the diagram, although they presented some difficulties in loading the data. 60% found it interesting to establish relationships between the actors and carry out the temporal sequence.</p> <p>Draw the pie chat(%) for above paragraph.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	J. Sukanya
Department, University & Country	M .V. Muthiah Government Arts College for Women, India