

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Mathematics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJOM_105802
Title of the Manuscript:	Minimum Transversal Eccentric Dominating Energy of Graphs
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjom.com/index.php/ARJOM/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Recently the concept of energy of a graph plays vital role in real life applications. In this paper, a new type of energy of a graph has been introduced and studied for some standard graphs.</p> <p>YES</p> <p>NO. New terminologies may be defined in the abstract also, so that a reader can easily identify his needs.</p> <p>YES</p> <p>YES</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>It should be improved little more. It contains few grammatical error (for example in Definition 2.1, in the conclusion etc. The author(s) may correct them.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The author(s) may define the pre required terminologies like minimum transversal eccentric dominating set of a graph G in the paper which may make the paper self- content. The references should be arranged in alphabetical order.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Selvam Avadayappan
Department, University & Country	VHN Senthikumara Nadar College, India