

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Mathematics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJOM_105802
Title of the Manuscript:	Minimum Transversal Eccentric Dominating Energy of Graphs
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjom.com/index.php/ARJOM/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1.May be. In the third and fourth cases (section 2), the eigenvalues are found incorrectly. This must be corrected.</p> <p>2.Yes</p> <p>3.It is too short</p> <p>4 The style of the article should be improved. First, the authors need to enter the designations, then they should be applied. Authors need to add comments. The scope of the results should be specified in detail.</p> <p>5 In the third and fourth cases (section 2), the eigenvalues are found incorrectly. Calculation results must be carefully checked The scope of the results should be specified in detail.</p> <p>6.The list of references is too short</p> <p>In the third and fourth cases, the eigenvalues are found incorrectly. This must be corrected. The style of the article should be improved. First, the authors need to enter the designations, then they should be applied. Authors need to add comments. The scope of the results should be specified in detail.</p> <p>After the correction, the authors need to send the corrected version</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes, may be	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	the authors need to send the corrected version	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Irina Burova
Department, University & Country	St. Petersburg State University, Russia