

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Cardiovascular Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCD_105767
Title of the Manuscript:	Superinfected Libman-Sacks endocarditis revealing a systemic lupus erythematosus case report with review of the literature
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijrc.com/index.php/AJRCD/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. YES 2. YES 3. YES 4. YES 5. YES 6. Add following references</p> <p>Kohler JA, Ellis AR. Libman-Sacks endocarditis in pediatric patient with systemic lupus erythematosus. Pediatric cardiology. 2012 Dec;33:1466-8.</p> <p>Keenan JB, Janardhanan R, Larsen BT, Khalpey Z. Aortic valve replacement for Libman-Sacks endocarditis. Case Reports. 2016 Oct 4;2016:bcr2016215914.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Check and edit the spellings	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	Please add cite the recent references in this manuscript. Please cite the references in the abstract.	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shao-Wen Hung
Department, University & Country	Animal Technology Research Center, Agricultural Technology Research Institute, Taiwan