

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_106376
Title of the Manuscript:	Odd Chen Distribution: Proposition, Estimations and Applications
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajpas.com/index.php/AJPAS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>In the present paper, the author/authors proposed new distribution known as Odd Chen Distribution: Proposition, Estimations and Applications. The authors studied the various statistical properties of the proposed model. For the comparison of model's two real life data sets are used and results are well presented in the tables.</p> <p>Odd Chen Distribution: Properties and Applications</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Ok. The references should be written as per journal format.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Grammatical points and punctuations in order to write your paper need to be observed. It needs to be checked more accurately.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The proposed model should also be compared with the existing models by using simulation studies. Math-type formulation in this paper is sometimes irregular and they need to be corrected and aligned with other written texts. The equation numbers must be given as per general format.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sheikh Parvaiz Ahmad
Department, University & Country	University of Kashmir, India