

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medical Principles and Clinical Practice
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMPCP_105831
Title of the Manuscript:	THE ROLE OF IMMEDIATE FLUID RESUSCITATION IN MANAGING PATIENTS OF SEPSIS IN CRITICAL CARE SETTING: A LITERATURE REVIEW
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmcp.com/index.php/AJMPCP/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, is important</p> <p>Is Ok.</p> <p>Needs improvements</p> <p>Too small</p> <p>Can be improved</p> <p>More literature needs to be added</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Need to be improved.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Launching of the manuscript is not effective. For example, authors can start with such statements that Patients admitted tovery often develop” And forward accordingly. 2. Authors should include types and causes of sepsis, such as fungal, viral, bacterial, etc. Also discuss their mechanisms 3. Following papers are missing from literature review, please check carefully and incorporate these recently published relevant papers. DOI:10.1080/16878507.2020.1730579, DOI:10.23880/OAJMB-16000189, DOI:10.22207/JPAM.14.2.23, DOI:10.1016/j.ccr.2022.214757, DOI:10.1016/j.onano.2022.100049, DOI:10.1177/0885066618784861, DOI:10.1007/s11739-021-02735-7, etc. Authors should more relevant literature and update paper accordingly. 4. Prepare figures to make review more attractive. 5. Proofread the paper before resubmission. 6. Add one part on pathophysiology of Sepsis and stages of sepsis. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhammad Imran Khan
Department, University & Country	China