

## Review Form 1.7

|                          |                                                                                                                            |
|--------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Journal Name:            | Asian Journal of Food Research and Nutrition                                                                               |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_AJFRN_105534                                                                                                            |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Influence of Addition of Naked Barley and Broken Rice on Chemical, Rheological and Organoleptic Properties of Balady Bread |
| Type of the Article      | Original Research Article                                                                                                  |

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalajfrn.com/index.php/AJFRN/editorial-policy>)

### PART 1: Review Comments

|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Reviewer's comment                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?<br/>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. Is the title of the article suitable?<br/>(If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p> | <p>The manuscript is dealing with the characterisation of the Balady bread, like a staple food in Egypt. The Authors studied the effect of some bread wheat replacement on chemical, rheological and organoleptic properties. Their success shows these components are useful in reformulation of traditional bread recipes with aim to get a completely accepted, but healthier staple food.</p> <p>I think the abstract should be briefly compacted (shortened). Some of the information may be possible to neglect in this part.</p> <p>Yes, the manuscript is correct scientifically.</p> <p>The references are appropriate and these are enough in quality and quantity as well.</p> |                                                                                                                                                                               |
| <p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | <p>The manuscript contain some so long sentences, which give some difficulties for the readers. May it is necessary carefully to edit and rephrase them.</p>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |                                                                                                                                                                               |
| <p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | <p>The format of the figures and tables not follow the requirements of the journal. The language revision of the long sentences and the briefly shortening of the abstract is necessary.</p>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |                                                                                                                                                                               |

### PART 2:

|                                                     | Reviewer's comment                                                                  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-----------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p> | <p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> |                                                                                                                                                                               |

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |                                                                                               |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Name:                            | <b>Gabor Zsivanovits</b>                                                                      |
| Department, University & Country | <b>Institute of Food Preservation and Quality, Agricultural Academy of Bulgaria, Bulgaria</b> |