

Affected of ameliorated alkaline water and soil amendments on exchangeable cation, macro and micronutrients in sodic soil under drip irrigation

ABSTRACT

The Field experiment was carried out at Anbil Dharmalingam Agricultural College and Research Institute, Tiruchirappalli using cotton (RCH- 20) as a test crop to examine the effect of ameliorated alkali water and soil amendments on soil properties, growth, and yield of hybrid cotton in sodic soil under drip irrigation. In this experiment, Drip irrigation with gypsum treatment water and drip irrigation with spent wash treatment water were used as main-plots treatments. Soil application of gypsum @ 50 % GR (5.2 t ha⁻¹) and one time soil application of distillery spent wash @ 5 lakh litter ha⁻¹ were imposed as sub-plot treatments. The treatment without amendments both under main plot and sub plots were used as control. The experiment was carried out using a strip-plot design with four replications. The application of additives through irrigation water (or) soil considerably decreased the pH of post-harvest soil. The soil exchangeable Ca and Mg were found significant due to soil application of amendments only and value ranged from 8.51 to 9.35 and 5.03 to 5.20 Cmol (p+) kg⁻¹ respectively. The soil available nutrients N, P and K were found significant, and value range from 167 to 311, 15.4 to 21.5, 158 to 928 kg ha⁻¹ respectively. The application distillery spent wash (DSW) and gypsum slightly increased the micronutrient cations range which from 4.80 to 6.85 mg kg⁻¹ of Fe, 8.60 to 10.43 mg kg⁻¹ of Mn, 0.64 to 0.88 of mg kg⁻¹ of Zn, 1.10 to 1.42 mg kg⁻¹ of Cu. The results of the field experiment revealed that application of amended alkali water and amended soil significantly increased all the growth and yield parameters of cotton. An increase in seed cotton yield of 701 and 544 kg ha⁻¹ was recorded due to the application of gypsum treated water and DSW treated water respectively over control. Similarly, an increase of 773 and 973 kg ha⁻¹ of seed cotton yield was recorded due to soil application of gypsum and DSW respectively over control. While considering the interaction effect, soil application of DSW along with gypsum bed treated water found to be the best for getting higher seed cotton yield (3015 kg ha⁻¹) when compared to other treatment combination.

Key words: Sodicty, gypsum, distillery spent wash, ameliorated alkali water, drip irrigation.

1.INTRODUCTION

“Concerns about food security and the lack of fresh productive land have pushed productivity improvement of degraded lands back onto research and development's agenda. Nearly 9.38 million hectares (ha) of land in India are covered by salt-affected soils, of which 5.50 million hectares (ha) are salty soils, 3.77 million hectares (ha) are alkali soils, and 3.5 lakh hectares (ha) are sodic soil. Sodicty is the main cause of the low productivity of crops grown on sodic soil. When the EC is less than 4.0 dsm-1 and the soil has a pH of more than 8.5, an ESP of 15.0 or more, and a preponderance of sodium CO_3 and HCO_3 , the soil is referred to as --alkali. Excess sodium ions in soil make it sodic, which causes the dispersion of soil aggregates and inhibition of the soil microbial activity” [5,6]. “The crops growing in such soils are suffering with unavailability of plant essential nutrients, especially nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P), and potassium (K). The most common cations are calcium (Ca^{2+}), magnesium (Mg^{2+}), and sodium (Na^+), whereas the most abundant anions are chloride (Cl), sulphate (SO_4^{-2}), and bicarbonate (HCO_3). Sodic water is synonymous with ‘soft’ water. Sodicty can contribute to the deterioration of physical properties of the soil, which can indirectly affect plants resulting in surface crusting, reduced water infiltration, and reduced aeration causing anoxic or hypoxic conditions for roots. Sodic soils can be reclaimed by different amendments which can be selected based on their availability and severity of problem. Because of its (i) moderate solubility, (ii) ability to replace sodium ion (Na^+) on exchange sites with calcium ion (Ca^{2+}), and (iii) inexpensive cost and widespread availability, gypsum is a popular amendment for sodic soil restoration” [3-5]. “The shortage of organic manures such as FYM or compost, as well as the difficulty in obtaining and paying for gypsum, have limited the breadth of their use for reclamation. As a result, it is critical to find low-cost, locally available, highly efficient sources of reclamation. One such possibility is the use of raw wasted wash, an acidic byproduct of the distillery industry's effluent” [1,2]. Thus, the current experiment sought to investigate the effects of improved alkali water and soil amendments on soil characteristics, growth, and yield of hybrid cotton in sodic soil under drip irrigation.

2.MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted at the Anbil Dharma lingam agricultural college and research institute, Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Tiruchirappalli, Tamil Nadu (10 45 5.465 N, 78.36 1.227 E). The soil was *Typic Ustropept* with clay texture. The field experiment was laid out in Strip plot design, four replication and three mail plots, three sub plots followed.

Main plots (Irrigation water treatment)

M₁: Drip irrigation with gypsum treated water

M₂: Drip irrigation with spent wash treated water

M₃: Drip irrigation with untreated alkali water

Sub plots: Soil treatment

S₁: Soil application of gypsum @ 50% GR

S₂: One time application of DSW @ 5 lakh litter ha⁻¹

S₃: No treatments (control)

A few important yield related observation *viz.*, plant height, number of bolls per plant, seed cotton yield (kg ha⁻¹), soil related observation *viz.*, soil physico- chemical properties, exchangeable cations, available nutrients, micronutrients, microbial population and soil enzyme activities were recorded.

3.RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Effect on soil exchangeable cation

Only soil application of amendments was shown to be relevant for the soil exchangeable Ca and Mg, with values ranging from 8.51 to 9.35 and 5.03 to 5.20 cmol (p+) kg⁻¹, respectively (Table 1). “The natural free lime may have been solubilized by the raw spent wash's acidic pH of 3.8, releasing Ca and Mg in free ionic forms. This may have also contributed to the increased Ca and Mg on exchange sites with the displacement of exchangeable sodium. Similar findings about the application of raw waste wash increasing the Ca and Mg concentrations of soil were also reported” by Baskar *et al.* (2003). “Utilizing wasted wash in its concentrated form promoted a higher exchange of sodium for calcium, and subsequent leaching with high-quality water restored the sodium from the spent wash. Due to the makeup of the added organic amendments, the amount of exchangeable K increased with the addition of distillery waste wash. Gypsum and organic sources were added, which encouraged Na displacement and its subsequent removal during irrigation to lower soil layers, which resulted in a decrease in soil ESP with the addition of amendments (organic or inorganic), either alone or in combination” (Gharaibeh *et al.*, 2011).

3.2. Effect on Available nutrients

The soil available nutrients N, P and K were found significant, and value range from 167 to 311, 15.4 to 21.5, 158 to 928 kg ha⁻¹ respectively (Table 2). An increase in available N content of 6 and 13 kg ha⁻¹ was registered by (M₁) drip irrigation with gypsum treated water and drip irrigation with spent wash treated water (M₂) respectively over the control (M₃). The

highest value (302 kg ha⁻¹) was recorded due to soil application of distillery spent wash (S₂). The lowest value (170 kg ha⁻¹) was registered by the control (S₃). Increase in soil phosphorus availability by addition of various organic materials have been reported by several workers (Pramer and Sharma, 2002). The out-turn of main-plot and sub plot treatments showed a significant increase in the available K content of the soil. The combined effect of irrigation treatments and soil amendments on available K content also found to be significant. The treatments M₂S₂ (drip irrigation with DSW treated water + soil application of DSW) and M₃S₃ (absolute control) recorded the highest (928 kg ha⁻¹) and lowest (158 kg ha⁻¹) available K content in post-harvest soil, respectively. Spent wash contains high amount of K (0.88 %) in ionic form, which upon application to soil readily builds up K. Solubility of the nutrient increased with increase in organic matter content in the soil. This is in line with the findings of Savini *et al.* (2006).

3.3. Effect on available micronutrients

The range of micronutrient cations rose somewhat with the addition of distillery spent wash (DSW) and gypsum, going from 4.80 to 6.85 mg kg⁻¹ of Fe, 8.60 to 10.43 mg kg⁻¹ of Mn, 0.64 to 0.88 mg kg⁻¹ of Zn, and 1.10 to 1.42 mg kg⁻¹ of Cu. Increased availability in DSW treated soils may result from direct DSW contribution as well as solubilization and chelation effects of DSW-supplied organic matter. Gypsum was added, which caused the soil's pH to drop. As Zn (OH)₂ precipitation diminishes due to a drop in pH, availability rises. With organic ligands, micronutrients are known to form chelates that are comparatively stable and reduce their sensitivity to adsorption, fixing, or precipitation. The accumulating amount of these metallic cations notably increased with an increased level of spent wash. The results of the present investigation are also in agreement with the findings Valliappan *et al.*(2001).

4.CONCLUSION

The study concluded that one time application of distillery spent wash @ 5 lakh litter ha⁻¹ along with drip irrigation of gypsum bed treatment water can be effectively used as an amendment for reclamation of sodic soil and for getting higher yield of cotton.

REFERENCES

1. Baskar, M., C. Kayalvizhi, and M. Subash Chandra bose, 2003, Eco Friendly utilization of Distillery Effluent in Agriculture- A Review. *Agric. Rev.*, 24:16-30.

2. Pramer, D. K. and V. Sharma. 2002. Studies on long-term application of fertilizers and manure on yield of maize-wheat rotation and soil properties under rain-fed conditions in Western-Himalayas. *J. Ind. Soc. Soil Sci.*, 50: 311-312.
3. Gharaibeh, M. A., N. I. Eltaif and A. A. Albalasmeh. 2011. Reclamation of highly calcareous saline sodic soil using *Atriplexhalimus* and by-product gypsum. *Int. J. Phytorem.*, 13: 873–883.
4. Savini, I., P. Smithson and N. Karanja. 2006. Effects of added biomass, soil pH and calcium on the solubility of Minjingu phosphate rock in a Kenyan Oxisol Archives. *Agron. Soil Sci.*, 52(1):19
5. Valliappan, K., 2001, Reclamation of sodic soils using distillery spentwash a new approach for sustainable soil health and crop production. In: Proceedings of National Seminar on Use of poor quality and Sugar Industrial Effluents in Agriculture, pp 73. Tamilnadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore.
6. Suresh T, Santhy P, Baskar M. Influence of ameliorated alkaline water and soil amendments on soil physico-chemical properties, growth, and yield of hybrid cotton in sodic soil under drip irrigation. *The Pharma Innovation Journal* 2022; 11(7): 454-456

Table 1. Effect of ameliorated alkali water and soil amendments on soil Exchangeable cation of post-harvest soil.

Treatments	Exch. Ca (c mol(p ⁺) kg ⁻¹)				Exch.Mg(c mol(p ⁺) kg ⁻¹)				Exch.Na(c mol(p ⁺) kg ⁻¹)				Exch.K(c mol(p ⁺) kg ⁻¹)			
	S ₁	S ₂	S ₃	Mean	S ₁	S ₂	S ₃	Mean	S ₁	S ₂	S ₃	Mean	S ₁	S ₂	S ₃	Mean
M ₁	9.20	9.30	8.20	8.90	5.06	5.18	5.01	5.08	2.54	2.18	4.00	2.91	0.21	0.26	0.18	0.22
M ₂	9.17	9.35	8.22	9.09	5.08	5.20	5.03	5.10	2.44	2.00	3.84	2.76	0.26	0.52	0.29	0.36
M ₃	9.17	9.30	8.21	8.89	5.08	5.10	5.03	5.07	2.64	2.20	4.12	2.99	0.17	0.35	0.12	0.21
Mean	9.18	9.31	8.20	8.96	5.92	6.05	5.02	5.03	2.54	2.13	3.99	2.88	0.21	0.38	0.20	0.26
	M	S	M at S	S at M	M	S	M at S	S at M	M	S	M at S	S at M	M	S	M at S	S at M
SE d	0.09	0.11	0.19	0.21	0.09	0.08	0.13	0.15	0.05	0.03	0.16	0.10	0.06	0.10	0.01	0.02
CD (0.05)	NS	0.27	NS	NS	NS	0.20	NS	NS	0.16	0.09	0.36	0.22	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.04

Main plot (Irrigation Treatment): M₁: Drip irrigation with gypsum treated water, M₂: Drip irrigation with spent wash treated water, M₃: Drip irrigation with untreated alkali water

Subplot: (Soil treatment): S₁: Soil application of gypsum @ 50% GR, S₂: One time application of distillery spent wash @ 5 lakh litter ha⁻¹ (90,000 L), S₃: No amendments

Table 2. Effect of ameliorated alkali water and soil amendments on Available N, P and K content of post-harvest soil.

Treatments	Available N (kg ha ⁻¹)				Available P (kg ha ⁻¹)				Available K (kg ha ⁻¹)			
	S ₁	S ₂	S ₃	Mean	S ₁	S ₂	S ₃	Mean	S ₁	S ₂	S ₃	Mean
M ₁	208	300	170	226	16.8	20.4	15.6	17.6	175	905	161	414
M ₂	216	311	174	233	17.2	21.5	15.9	18.2	182	928	165	425
M ₃	198	297	167	220	16.5	19.8	15.4	17.2	167	891	158	405
Mean	207	302	170	226	16.8	20.6	15.6	17.7	175	908	161	415
	M	S	M at S	S at M	M	S	M at S	S at M	M	S	M at S	S at M
SE d	1.07	1.72	2.97	4.74	0.10	0.24	0.29	0.65	0.95	1.53	2.84	4.28
CD (0.05)	2.84	4.79	6.45	10.30	0.29	0.67	0.64	1.42	2.65	4.26	6.17	9.30

Main plot (Irrigation Treatment): M₁: Drip irrigation with gypsum treated water, M₂: Drip irrigation with spent wash treated water, M₃: Drip irrigation with untreated alkali water

Subplot: (Soil treatment): S₁: Soil application of gypsum @ 50% GR, S₂: One time application of distillery spent wash @ 5 lakh litter ha⁻¹ (90,000 L), S₃: No amendments

Table 3. Effect of ameliorated alkali water and soil amendments on micronutrient cation Fe, Mn, Cu and Zn content of post-harvest soil.

Treatments	Fe (mg kg ⁻¹)				Mn (mg kg ⁻¹)				Cu (mg kg ⁻¹)				Zn (mg kg ⁻¹)			
	S ₁	S ₂	S ₃	Mean	S ₁	S ₂	S ₃	Mean	S ₁	S ₂	S ₃	Mean	S ₁	S ₂	S ₃	Mean
M ₁	5.60	6.72	5.16	5.82	9.24	10.31	8.72	9.42	0.84	0.86	0.80	0.83	1.21	1.35	1.20	1.25
M ₂	5.71	6.85	5.10	6.25	9.45	10.43	8.75	9.54	0.85	0.88	0.79	0.84	1.27	1.42	1.17	1.28
M ₃	5.32	6.15	4.80	5.36	8.70	8.80	8.60	8.60	0.72	0.75	0.64	0.70	1.19	1.21	1.10	1.16
Mean	5.54	6.60	5.28	5.83	9.13	9.83	8.69	9.12	0.80	0.83	0.74	0.79	1.22	1.32	1.15	1.23
	M	S	M at S	S at M	M	S	M at S	S at M	M	S	M at S	S at M	M	S	M at S	S at M
SE d	0.06	0.03	0.17	0.09	0.04	0.07	0.12	0.21	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.08	0.05
CD (0.05)	0.18	0.09	0.38	0.21	0.12	0.22	0.27	0.47	0.01	0.03	0.04	0.07	0.08	0.05	0.19	0.11

Main plot (Irrigation Treatment): M₁: Drip irrigation with gypsum treated water, M₂: Drip irrigation with spent wash treated water, M₃: Drip irrigation with untreated alkali water

Subplot: (Soil treatment): S₁: Soil application of gypsum @ 50% GR, S₂: One time application of distillery spent wash @ 5 lakh litter ha⁻¹ (90,000 L), S₃: No amendments

Main plot (Irrigation Treatment): M₁: Drip irrigation with gypsum treated water, M₂: Drip irrigation with spent wash treated water, M₃: Drip irrigation with untreated alkali water

Subplot: (Soil treatment): S₁: Soil application of gypsum @ 50% GR, S₂: One time application of distillery spent wash @ 5 lakh litter ha⁻¹ (90,000 L), S₃: No amendments.