

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSSE_105923
Title of the Manuscript:	Unveiling the Factors Influencing Organic Tomato (<i>Solanum lycopersicum</i>) Production: Empirical Evidence from the Mymensingh District of Bangladesh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalsajsse.com/index.php/SAJSSE/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? Yes (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? Yes (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Yes</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Yes</p> <p>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Yes, after taking into consideration the observation</p> <p>5.</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. Yes</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The current study is important because the organic tomato farming sustains the health of soils, ecosystems, people and relies on ecological processes, biodiversity and cycles adapted to local conditions rather than the use of inputs with adverse effects.</p> <p>2. Based on the conclusions of the research, the authors need to add a description of suggestions and added value for other researchers or readers.</p> <p>3. I think that the discussion needs more explanations of the result obtained and to compare with previous studies.</p> <p>4. Yes</p> <p>5. Yes, after taking into consideration the observation</p> <p>6. Please insert relevant and recent references in the text.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Must be corrected by using spell check and grammar check.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Please follow the journal guidelines.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dhia Ahmed Taain
Department, University & Country	College of Agriculture, University of Basrah, Iraq