

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_106213
Title of the Manuscript:	Contribution to Occurrence of the Blackfly (Diptera:Similiidae) in the Palestinian Territories, West Bank
Type of the Article	Short communication

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijtdh.com/index.php/IJTDH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	this could be an important topic when it is applicable to a particular area. However, more literature review and clinical relevance should be highlighted. distribution pattern of the Blackfly (Diptera: Similiidae) in the Palestinian Territories, West Bank the abstract should be more precise with more relevant clinical details The manuscript should be more organized and it should be written in precise English grammar yes yes	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	no, it should be corrected.	
Optional/General comments	the article should be rewritten with better English. More details should be included in the research methodology. Eg Sample size calculation. etc	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dinesh Kalana Jayaweera
Department, University & Country	Sri Lanka