

## Review Form 1.7

|                          |                                                                                               |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Journal Name:            | <b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>                                      |
| Manuscript Number:       | <b>Ms_IJPSS_106625</b>                                                                        |
| Title of the Manuscript: | <b>STUDY ON GENETIC DIVERGENCE USING D2 ANALYSIS IN GREENGRAM (Vigna radiata (L.)Wilczek)</b> |
| Type of the Article      | <b>Original Research Article</b>                                                              |

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | Reviewer's comment                                                                                       | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments<br><br>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b><br>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)<br><br>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b><br>(If not please suggest an alternative title)<br><br>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b><br><br>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b><br><br>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b><br><br>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b><br><br><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b> | yes<br><br>yes<br><br>yes<br><br>yes<br><br>yes<br><br>yes                                               |                                                                                                                                                                               |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments<br><br>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | yes                                                                                                      |                                                                                                                                                                               |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | There are lot of mistakes in the format of the text. kindly correct. mistakes were corrected in red font |                                                                                                                                                                               |

### PART 2:

|                                                     | Reviewer's comment                                                           | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-----------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |                                                                                                                                                                               |

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |                      |
|----------------------------------|----------------------|
| Name:                            | <b>Ambreen Nabi</b>  |
| Department, University & Country | <b>SKUAST, India</b> |