

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_105523
Title of the Manuscript:	Entering a New Agricultural Era Through the Impact of Nano-Fertilizers on Crop Development - A Review
Type of the Article	Review

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The article addresses a very current and very important issue in the area of agriculture.</p> <p>2. May be the title could be a short title: Impact of nanofertilizers on crop development: a review.</p> <p>3. The abstract is comprehensive</p> <p>4. . The subsections are adequate and sufficient</p> <p>5. I consider the manuscript is scientifically correct</p> <p>6. I consider that most of the bibliography should be from 2018 onwards</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>I think that the English used is very clear and understandable in academic matters.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>I think it would be important to mention the latest research on the subject. In the same way, it is necessary to mention more specific examples of the advantages and disadvantages of what is mentioned about nanotechnology in agriculture. In the section on examples of nanoparticles, it would be worth mentioning which chemical elements or chemical substances have been used. I also think they should include that other disciplines should move forward with Nanotechnology.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Marithza Guadalupe Ramírez Gerardo
Department, University & Country	Instituto Tecnológico Superior del Occidente del Estado de Hidalgo, México