

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_106494</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Stability Analysis for Seed Yield trait in Indian Mustard (<i>Brassica juncea</i> L. Czern &amp; coss.).</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments  <b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  <b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  <b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  <b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  <b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  <b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>  <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	1. Yes, the manuscript is important for the scientific community,  2. Yes, the title of the manuscript is suitable.  3. Yes, the abstract is comprehensive  4. The subsections and the structure of the manuscript are not appropriate, please provide conclusion.  5. Yes. I think the manuscript is scientifically correct.  6. No, the references are not sufficient and are not recent. It does not reach the level of the good bibliographical references of the manuscript	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments  <b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	1. It is highly recommended to have the paper grammar-checked and undergo stylistics and editing.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Some comments are in the text of the manuscript, please check them. I see that the manuscript can accept it after taking the requested corrections into consideration and it would be publishable in your journal.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Aziz Benhamrouche</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Ferhat Abbas University Setif1, Algeria</b>