

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_106346</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>A Comprehensive Review On Role Of Agricultural Extension Services In The Sustainable Development Of Global Agriculture.</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review Article</b>

### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only based on '**lack of Novelty,**' provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (If agreed with the Reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here.)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is the manuscript important for the scientific community?</b> (Please write a few sentences on this manuscript.)</p> <p><b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not, please suggest an alternative title.)</p> <p><b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p><b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p><b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p><b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions for additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from the 6 points mentioned above, reviewers can provide additional suggestions/comments.)</b></p>	<p>Yes, manuscripts are significant to the scientific community.</p> <p>The title of the article is good (16 words)</p> <p>The abstract is good (275 words). It is recommended that the abstract be a maximum of 250 words. Sentences can be simplified. Keywords are good (4 words). The maximum number of keywords is five, and you can add one crucial word.</p> <p>The introduction and literature review are good. Articles must include an Introduction, Methods, Results, discussion, and conclusion. It is recommended that the research method be added after the literature review, and the research results and discussion title are also added. After that, the conclusion continues.</p> <p>The manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>References are good, Sufficient, and up-to-date references, and reference citations are recommended to use Mendeley IEEE or APA.</p> <p>It is suggested to add references as an example.</p> <p>Djuraeva, M., Bobojonov, I., Kuhn, L., &amp; Glauben, T. (2023). The impact of agricultural extension type and form on technical efficiency under transition: An empirical assessment of wheat production in Uzbekistan. <i>Economic Analysis and Policy</i>, 77, 203-221.</p> <p>Adeyemi, O., Adejoh, V., Anjorin, O., Ariyo, O., Makanjuola, B., Sablah, M., &amp; Onabolu, A. (2023). Nutrition capacity assessment of agriculture extension services in Nigeria. <i>Food and Nutrition Bulletin</i>, 03795721231158417.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The quality of the article's English is suitable for scientific communication, but it is recommended to use grammarly.com</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The paper is Accepted but needs minor Revision.</p>	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Endang Noerhartati</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universitas Wijaya Kusuma Surabaya, Indonesia</b>