

Original Research Article

SEED PRIMING FOR ENHANCEMENT OF SEED QUALITY IN QUALITY PROTEIN MAIZE (QPM) (*Zea mays* L.,)

ABSTRACT

An investigation was carried out with HQPM-5 maize hybrid and its parental lines HKI-163 and HKI-161 seeds during *rabi*2022 to evaluate the effect of liquid biofertilizers (*Azospirillum*, Phosphorus solubilizing bacteria and Potassium solubilizing bacteria) on seed quality attributes in Quality Protein Maize (QPM) genotypes. The seeds were subjected ~~for standardization, to optimize~~ to different the concentration and duration of hydropriming and biopriming (Concentration: 10, 15 and 20%; Duration: 6,12,18 and 24h). The non primed seeds ~~formed the~~ were used as control. ~~The results revealed that~~ HQPM-5 seeds bioprime with combination of *Azospirillum* @ 20%+ Phosphorous solubilizing bacteria @ 20%+ Potassium solubilizing bacteria @ 20% at 12h (T₉) recorded higher seed quality i.e., germination, field emergence, root length, shoot length, seedling length, seedling fresh weight, root dry weight, shoot dry weight, seedling dry weight, seed vigour index I and II, nitrogen and protein content and lower EC of seed leachates than the parental lines, HKI-163 and HKI-161. The overall performance of Female parent was found to be better compared to the male parent.

KEYWORDS: Liquid biofertilizers, Quality Protein Maize (QPM), Biopriming, Hydropriming.

INTRODUCTION

Maize (*Zea mays* L.) is the third most important cereal crop in the world agriculture. It has got the sobriquet “Queen of cereals” because of its highest yield potential and wider adaptability. Maize belongs to the grass family *Poaceae*. As maize is a C₄ plant it has a higher potential for the synthesis of carbohydrates. It is predominantly a cross-pollinated species with chromosome number of 2n=2x=20, a feature that has contributed to its morphological variability. Maize ranks as the third most important crop in India among cereals. India ranks fourth in area and seventh in production, representing around 4% of the world maize area and 2% of the total production (<http://www.fao.org>).

Quality Protein Maize (QPM) is a family of maize varieties. QPM grain contains nearly twice as much lysine and tryptophan, amino acids that are essential for humans and monogastric animals but are limiting amino acids in grains. QPM is a product of

Comment [MB1]: Parents were treated or not?
What about other treatments?

conventional plant breeding (i.e., it is not genetically modified) and an example of biofortification. Given the importance of the energy crisis, the need for more food and feed, and other essential agronomical qualities, the breeding of QPM is set up as a challenge to create high quality protein maize with high yield (Micic-Ignjatovic *et al.*, 2008).

The critical phases in the development of crops include uniform seed germination, early seedling growth, and uniform plant stand. A physiological strategy called priming involves hydrating and drying seeds to speed up the pre-germinative metabolic process for increased germination, seedling growth and eventual yield under both normal and stressful conditions. Hydro priming involves soaking seeds in water before sowing and re-drying to original moisture content prior to sowing (Luttset *et al.*, 2016). Bio-priming is an efficient inoculation method to apply beneficial bacteria prior to sowing that enhances the chances of bacterial candidates to colonize the rhizosphere and establish a liaison with the plant.

Utilising biofertilizers instead of chemicals is anticipated to have a positive influence on human health in addition to the soil, air, and water. In addition to being highly nutritious, they also give the soil beneficial microbes. Several studies clearly reported that inoculation of maize with *Azospirillum* increased the uptake of nutrients, plant growth and yield. (Dobbelaere *et al.*, 2001) The utilization of Phosphorous solubilizing bacteria and Potassium solubilizing bacteria as biofertilization is a sustainable solution to improve plant growth, nutrition, root growth, plant competitiveness and responses to external stress factors. (Meena *et al.*, 2015a; Meena *et al.*, 2015b; Raghvendra *et al.*, 2016; Kumar *et al.*, 2016)

MATERIAL AND METHODS

A laboratory experiment on enhancement of seed quality parameters through hydropriming and biopriming in hybrid maize HQPM-5 and its parental lines i.e., HKI-163 and HKI-161 was conducted at Regional Agricultural Research Station (RARS), Nandyal during *rabi* 2022. A preliminary experiment was carried out to standardize the optimum concentration of liquid biofertilizers and the duration of priming. The best concentration and duration of seed priming of each of the liquid biofertilizers identified in the preliminary experiment were used in the subsequent experiments. The details of priming agents, their concentrations and duration of priming are given in Table 1.

Table 1: Details of seed priming treatments under study

Treatment No.	Treatments
T ₁	Untreated Seed (control)

T ₂	Hydropriming
T ₃	<i>Azospirillum</i> @ 20 %
T ₄	Phosphorous solubilizing bacteria @ 20 %
T ₅	Potassium solubilizing bacteria @ 20 %
T ₆	<i>Azospirillum</i> @ 20 %+ Phosphorous solubilizing bacteria @ 20 %
T ₇	<i>Azospirillum</i> @ 20 %+ Potassium solubilizing bacteria @ 20 %
T ₈	Phosphorous solubilizing bacteria @ 20 %+ Potassium solubilizing bacteria @ 20%
T ₉	<i>Azospirillum</i> @ 20 %+ Phosphorous solubilizing bacteria @ 20 %+ Potassium solubilizing bacteria @ 20 %

The seed and seedling quality was studied in Two Factorial Completely Randomized Design (FCRD). The seeds of maize hybrid HQPM-5, were subjected to hydropriming and biopriming treatments using different biofertilizers either individually or in combination for 12 h and shade dried to 12% moisture content. Unprimed seed along with the primed seed were evaluated for the seed quality attributes.

Germination

The germination test was conducted by the procedure outlined by ISTA (1999) using paper (between papers) medium. Four replicates of 100 seeds each were germinated in a germination room maintained at 25 ± 2°C temperatures and 95 ± 5% relative humidity (RH). At the end of seventh day after sowing, germination was calculated and expressed in percentage.

$$G\% = \frac{\text{Number of normal seedlings}}{\text{Total number of seed sown}} \times 100$$

Seedling length (cm)

At the time of final germination count, 10 normal seedlings were selected at random from each replication and used for measuring the root length and shoot length of seedlings. Root length was measured from the point of attachment of seed to the tip of primary root. The shoot length was measured from the point of attachment of seed to the tip of the leaf and the mean values were expressed in centimetre. The sum of root and shoot length of ten seedlings was calculated and their mean was expressed as seedling length in centimetres.

Seedling fresh weight (g)

Ten representative seedlings used for seedling length measurement were taken and fresh weight of these seedlings was recorded by using an electronic weighing balance. Average weight was calculated and was expressed in grams per seedling.

Seedling dry weight (g)

Ten representative seedlings used for fresh weight measurement were taken, roots and shoots of these seedlings were separated and placed in paper cover and kept in an oven maintained at $75\pm 1^\circ\text{C}$ for 48 hours until attaining constant weight of the sample. After cooling, weight of the dried shoots and roots was recorded using an electronic weighing balance and average weight was calculated and was expressed in grams per seedling. The sum of root and shoot dry weight of ten seedlings was calculated and their mean was expressed in grams per seedling.

Seed vigour index-I and II

Seed vigour index I & II was computed by adopting the following formula as suggested by Abdul-Baki and Anderson (1973) and was expressed in whole number.

$$\text{Seed vigour index} = \text{Germination (\%)} \times (\text{Seedling length (cm)})$$

$$\text{Seed vigour index-II} = \text{Germination (\%)} \times \text{Seedling dry weight (g)}$$

Electrical conductivity of seed leachates ($\mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$)

Fifty randomly selected seeds from each treatment were soaked in 75 ml of deionized water for 24 h at room temperature. The seed steep water was decanted and referred to as seed leachate. The electrical conductivity of the seed leachate was measured with a digital conductivity meter (Model: Conductivity TDS meter-307) with a cell constant of one and expressed as μScm^{-1} .

Nitrogen content

Nitrogen content in maize seeds was analyzed by Micro-Kjeldahl method.

$$\text{Nitrogen (\%)} = \frac{\text{Titre value} \times 0.00007 \times 100 \times 100}{0.5 \times 5} \times 100$$

Protein content

Nitrogen content was multiplied with the factor 6.25 to obtain the crude protein content in the given sample as given by Doubetz and Wells (1968).

$$\text{Protein content (\%)} = \text{Nitrogen content (\%)} \times 6.25 \text{ (factor)}$$

Field emergence (%)

One hundred seeds from each treatment were counted and sown in well prepared soil at 3 cm depth. The field emergence was recorded on the 15th day after sowing and the field emergence percentage was calculated as per the formula:

$$\text{Field Emergence (\%)} = \frac{\text{Number of seedlings emerged}}{\text{Total number of seed sown}} \times 100$$

Analysis of variance for Factorial Completely Randomized Design (FCRD) was calculated and the percent values were transformed to angular (arcsine) values before analysis (Panse and Sukhatme, 1985).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The experiment revealed highly significant differences among genotypes and treatments for germination, field emergence, root length, shoot length, seedling length, root dry weight, shoot dry weight, seedling fresh weight, seedling dry weight, seed vigour index I and II, nitrogen and protein content. EC of seed leachates was found significant among the treatments and it was highly influenced by genotypes. The interaction effects of genotypes and treatments was found significant for all the parameters studied except for germination, field emergence, shoot length, seedling fresh weight, seedling dry weight, EC of seed leachates, nitrogen and protein content of seed. The highest (96.67%) germination was recorded after seed priming with T₂ in HQPM-5 and lowest (77.51%) germination was observed in T₁ seed in HKI-161 with overall mean germination of 85.85 % (Table 2 and Fig.1). The results are in accordance with Karthika and Vanangamudi (2013) in which biopriming with *Azospirillum* @ 20 % and Phosphobacteria @ 20 % concentration for 12 h in maize hybrid COH(M) significantly improved the germination.

Table 2. Influence of seed hydropriming and biopriming on germination (%) and field emergence (%) of Quality Protein Maize (QPM) genotypes.

Treatments	Germination (%)				Field emergence (%)			
	HKI-163	HKI-161	HQPM-5	Mean	HKI-163	HKI-161	HQPM-5	Mean
T ₁	79.81 (63.30)	77.51 (61.69)	85.12 (67.31)	80.81 (64.10)	79.35 (62.97)	76.67 (61.12)	83.65 (66.15)	79.89 (63.41)
T ₂	80.67	78.67	86.23	81.86	80.19	76.98	84.29	80.49

Comment [MB2]: Please write the treatment in the bracket

Comment [MB3]: Please explain treatments and varieties separately for all the parameters.

Comment [MB4]: Please write the treatment in the bracket

Comment [MB5]: Since data already presented in table hence no need to present in fig.

Comment [MB6]: Field emergence parameter is not discussed

	(63.92)	(62.49)	(68.22)	(64.88)	(63.57)	(61.33)	(66.65)	(63.85)
T ₃	82.67 (65.40)	79.06 (62.77)	88.46 (70.14)	83.40 (66.10)	81.38 (64.44)	77.31 (61.55)	85.61 (67.61)	81.43 (64.57)
T ₄	83.94 (66.37)	81.04 (64.19)	89.67 (71.26)	84.49 (67.27)	83.66 (66.16)	80.05 (63.47)	86.43 (68.38)	83.38 (66.00)
T ₅	86.67 (68.59)	81.57 (64.58)	92.07 (73.64)	86.77 (68.94)	84.76 (67.02)	81.18 (64.29)	90.57 (72.12)	85.50 (67.81)
T ₆	85.33 (67.48)	82.15 (65.01)	94.25 (76.13)	87.24 (69.58)	85.12 (67.31)	80.34 (63.68)	92.31 (73.90)	85.92 (68.30)
T ₇	86.12 (68.13)	83.33 (65.90)	93.53 (75.26)	87.66 (69.76)	85.86 (67.91)	82.67 (65.40)	89.79 (71.37)	86.11 (68.23)
T ₈	87.67 (69.44)	84.67 (66.95)	94.72 (76.72)	89.02 (71.04)	86.49 (68.43)	83.88 (66.33)	93.95 (75.76)	88.11 (70.17)
T ₉	89.33 (70.93)	86.91 (67.79)	96.67 (79.49)	90.97 (73.07)	87.73 (69.50)	84.49 (66.81)	94.16 (76.02)	88.79 (70.78)
Mean	84.69 (67.06)	81.66 (64.71)	91.19 (73.13)	85.85 (68.30)	83.84 (66.37)	80.40 (66.37)	88.97 (70.90)	84.40 (67.01)
	G	T	G×T	G	T	G×T		
SE m±	0.412	0.714	1.236	0.440	0.762	1.320		
CD (5%)	1.172	2.029	NS	1.251	2.167	NS		
CV			2.72			2.95		

Comment [MB7]: T2 and T3 were found to be at par with control. Which treatment were better than control need to be explained

Comment [MB8]: If CD value is taken as 2.03 (up to 2 decimal) 73.07 and 71.04 are found to be at par

Comment [MB9]: Since the data is up to 2 decimal points hence All the CD values should also be up to 2 decimal points

*Values in the parenthesis indicate arc-sine transformed values




Fig.1: Influence of seed hydropriming and biopriming on germination (%) of quality protein maize (QPM) genotypes.

Comment [MB10]: No need to present the fig

Table 3: Influence of seed hydropriming and biopriming on root length(cm),shoot length(cm) and seedling length (cm) of Quality Protein Maize (QPM) genotypes.

T	Root length (cm)				Shoot length (cm)				Seedling length (cm)			
	HKI-163	HKI-161	HQPM-5	Mean	HKI-163	HKI-161	HQPM-5	Mean	HKI-163	HKI-161	HQPM-5	Mean
T ₁	10.26	9.31	12.41	10.66	12.07	11.46	17.45	13.66	22.33	20.77	29.86	24.32
T ₂	12.67	9.82	13.53	12.01	13.16	12.15	18.32	14.54	25.83	21.97	31.85	26.55
T ₃	13.09	10.53	14.64	12.75	14.28	13.42	19.62	15.77	27.37	23.95	34.26	28.53
T ₄	13.87	11.84	15.34	13.68	15.73	13.89	20.34	16.65	29.60	25.73	35.68	30.34
T ₅	15.23	12.36	16.86	14.82	16.31	14.73	21.34	17.46	31.54	27.09	38.20	32.28
T ₆	16.17	13.17	15.89	15.08	17.19	15.36	21.94	18.16	33.36	28.53	37.83	33.24

T ₇	16.73	14.85	16.42	16.00	17.46	16.27	22.37	18.70	34.19	31.12	38.79	34.70
T ₈	17.46	15.26	17.16	16.63	18.27	16.76	23.04	19.36	35.73	32.02	40.20	35.98
T ₉	18.14	16.36	18.19	17.56	19.54	17.19	24.61	20.45	37.68	33.55	42.80	38.01
Mean	14.85	12.61	15.60	14.35	16.00	14.58	21.00	17.20	30.85	27.19	36.61	31.55
	G	T	G×T		G	T	G×T		G	T	G×T	
S Em±	0.067	0.115	0.200		0.083	0.144	0.250		0.111	0.193	0.334	
CD (5%)	0.189	0.328	0.568		0.237	0.410	NS		0.316	0.548	0.949	

The highest (18.19 cm) and lowest (9.31 cm) root length was recorded after-~~for~~seed primed with T₉ in HQPM-5 and T₁seed in HKI-161 respectively with overall mean root length of 14.35 cm. After seed priming with T₉ highest (24.61 cm) in HQPM-5 and lowest (11.46 cm) shoot length with control T₁ in HKI-161 with overall mean shoot length of 17.20 cm was recorded. The highest (42.80 cm) and lowest (20.77 cm) seedling length was recorded after seed priming with T₉ in HQPM-5 and T₁ in HKI-161 respectively with overall mean seedling length of 31.55 cm (Table 3 and Fig-2). The results are consistent with

Comment [MB11]: No need to present figure.




Fig. 2: Influence of hydropriming and biopriming on seedling length (cm) of quality protein maize genotypes.

Comment [MB12]: Fig. not needed

Karthika and Vanangamudi (2013) that seeds primed with liquid Azospirillum solution @ 20% concentration for 12h expressed high values for longer seedling length which was on a par with Phosphobacteria @ 20% concentration for 12 h.

The highest (0.036 g) and lowest (0.011 g) root dry weight was recorded after seed priming with T₉ in HQPM-5 and T₁ seed in HKI-161 respectively with overall mean root dry weight of 0.024 g (Table 4). The results are in harmony with Parmar and Sindhu (2013) that inoculation

of K-solubilizing isolate HWP47 in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) var. WH711 resulted in increase in root dry weight.

Table 4: Influence of seed hydropriming and biopriming on root dry weight (g) and

Treatments	Root dry weight (g)				Shoot dry weight (g)			
	HKI-163	HKI-161	HQPM-5	Mean	HKI-163	HKI-161	HQPM-5	Mean
T ₁	0.013	0.011	0.018	0.014	0.073	0.048	0.081	0.067
T ₂	0.015	0.014	0.021	0.016	0.079	0.051	0.084	0.071
T ₃	0.017	0.016	0.022	0.018	0.083	0.059	0.091	0.077
T ₄	0.023	0.021	0.025	0.023	0.084	0.064	0.097	0.081
T ₅	0.027	0.024	0.028	0.026	0.089	0.069	0.104	0.087
T ₆	0.029	0.025	0.026	0.026	0.093	0.073	0.109	0.091
T ₇	0.028	0.027	0.031	0.028	0.095	0.074	0.113	0.093
T ₈	0.031	0.029	0.033	0.031	0.098	0.076	0.116	0.096
T ₉	0.033	0.031	0.036	0.033	0.104	0.082	0.118	0.101
Mean	0.024	0.022	0.026	0.024	0.088	0.066	0.101	0.085
	G	T	G×T		G	T	G×T	
S Em±	0.001	0.001	0.002		0.001	0.001	0.002	
CD (5%)	0.001	0.003	0.004		0.002	0.003	0.006	
CV (%)	3.14				3.17			

shoot dry weight (g) of quality protein maize (QPM) genotypes.

The highest (0.118 g) and lowest (0.048 g) shoot dry weight was recorded after seed priming with T₉ in HQPM-5 and T₁ in HKI-161 respectively with overall mean shoot dry weight of 0.085 g (Table 4). The results are in agreement with Singh *et al.*, (2016) that biopriming with *T. asperellum* BHUT8 showed enhancement in shoot dry weight, as compared to the control in pea.

Comment [MB13]: The result writing pattern is very monotonous and not much information is written. Please try to elaborate

Table 5. Influence of seed hydropriming and biopriming on seedling fresh weight (g) and seedling dry weight (g) of quality protein maize (QPM) genotypes.

Treatments	Seedling fresh weight (g)				Seedling dry weight (g)			
	HKI-163	HKI-161	HQPM-5	Mean	HKI-163	HKI-161	HQPM-5	Mean
T ₁	0.97	0.87	1.03	0.96	0.086	0.059	0.099	0.081
T ₂	1.08	0.95	1.10	1.04	0.094	0.065	0.105	0.087
T ₃	1.12	0.98	1.14	1.08	0.100	0.075	0.113	0.095
T ₄	1.13	1.02	1.15	1.10	0.107	0.085	0.122	0.104
T ₅	1.14	1.04	1.12	1.10	0.116	0.093	0.132	0.113
T ₆	1.17	1.07	1.18	1.14	0.122	0.098	0.135	0.117
T ₇	1.19	1.08	1.22	1.16	0.123	0.101	0.144	0.121
T ₈	1.20	1.14	1.24	1.19	0.129	0.105	0.149	0.127
T ₉	1.22	1.16	1.27	1.22	0.137	0.113	0.154	0.134
Mean	1.14	1.03	1.16	1.11	0.112	0.088	0.127	0.109
	G	T	G×T		G	T	G×T	

S Em±	0.006	0.010	0.018	0.001	0.002	0.003
CD (5%)	0.017	0.029	NS	0.003	0.004	NS
CV (%)	3.02			2.97		

The highest (1.27 g) and lowest (0.87 g) seedling fresh weight was recorded after seed priming with T₉ in HQPM-5 and T₁ in HKI-161 respectively with overall mean seedling fresh weight of 1.11 g (Table 5). The results are in agreement with Pathak and Chakraborti (2014) in which seed treatment with *Azospirillum* significantly increased the fresh weight of root and shoot in maize. The highest (0.154 g) and lowest (0.059 g) seedling dry weight was recorded after seed priming with T₉ in HQPM-5 and T₁ seed in HKI-161 respectively with overall mean seedling dry weight of 0.109 g (Table 5). Similar results were obtained by Moeinzadeh *et al.* (2010) in which seed bio-priming with *Pseudomonas fluorescens* in sunflower significantly enhanced seedling dry weight (8.9g) compared to the control due to increased solubilization and uptake of nutrients and production of plant growth regulators. The highest (4137.48) and lowest (1609.88) seed vigour index-I was recorded after seed priming with T₉ in HQPM-5 and T₁ seed in HKI-161 respectively with overall mean seed vigour index-I of 2737.06. The highest (14.89) and lowest (4.57) seed vigour index-II was recorded after seed priming with T₉ in HQPM-5 and T₁ seed in HKI-161 respectively with overall mean seed vigour index-II of 9.36 (Table 6 and Fig.3). The results are in accordance with Revathi and Vanangamudi (2014) that seed biopriming with *Trichoderma viridae* @ 80 % for 6 h showed more seedling vigour index when compared to control in maize.

Comment [MB14]: Figure not needed

Table 6. Influence of seed hydropriming and biopriming on seed vigour index I and seed vigour index II of Quality Protein Maize (QPM) genotypes.

Treatments	Seed Vigour Index I				Seed Vigour Index II			
	HKI-163	HKI-161	HQPM-5	Mean	HKI-163	HKI-161	HQPM-5	Mean
T ₁	1782.16	1609.88	2541.61	1977.88	6.86	4.57	8.43	6.55
T ₂	2083.71	1728.38	2746.43	2186.17	7.58	5.11	9.05	7.12
T ₃	2262.68	1893.49	3030.64	2395.60	8.27	5.93	10.00	7.92
T ₄	2484.62	2085.24	3199.54	2589.80	8.98	6.89	10.94	8.83
T ₅	2733.57	2209.73	3517.07	2820.13	10.05	7.59	12.15	9.81
T ₆	2846.61	2343.74	3565.48	2918.61	10.41	8.05	12.72	10.21
T ₇	2944.44	2593.23	3627.88	3055.18	10.59	8.42	13.47	10.61
T ₈	3132.43	2711.13	3807.74	3217.10	11.31	8.89	14.11	11.31
T ₉	3365.95	2915.83	4137.48	3473.09	12.24	9.82	14.89	12.19
Mean	2626.24	2232.30	3352.65	2737.06	9.49	7.19	11.58	9.36
	G	T	G×T		G	T	G×T	
S Em±	11.828	20.486	35.483		0.046	0.079	0.137	
CD (5%)	33.628	58.246	100.885		0.130	0.225	0.390	

CV (%)	2.44	2.71
--------	------	------




Fig.3. Influence of seed hydropriming and bioprimering on seed vigour index I&II of quality protein maize (QPM) genotypes.

Comment [MB15]: Figure not needed

The highest ($696.34 \mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$) and lowest ($654.64 \mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$) electrical conductivity was recorded after seed priming with control (T_1) in HKI-161 and with T_9 in HQPM-5 respectively with overall mean electrical conductivity of $677.97 \mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$. The highest (1.65 %) and lowest (1.24 %) nitrogen content was recorded with T_9 in HQPM-5 and seed priming with T_1 in HKI-161 respectively with overall mean nitrogen content of 1.40 %. The highest (10.30 %) and lowest (7.76 %) protein content was recorded with T_9 in HQPM-5 and seed priming with T_1 in HKI-161 respectively with overall mean protein content of 8.73 % (Table 7).

Comment [MB16]: In the discussion what probable reason might be there for high or low EC should have explained. For other parameters also such explanation should be provided

Table 7. Influence of seed hydropriming and bioprimering on EC of seed leachates ($\mu\text{S/cm}$) and nitrogen content (%) and protein content (%) of Quality Protein Maize (QPM) genotypes.

Treatments	EC of seed leachates ($\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$)				Nitrogen content (%)				Protein content (%)			
	HKI-163	HKI-161	HQPM-5	Mean	HKI-163	HKI-161	HQPM-5	Mean	HKI-163	HKI-161	HQPM-5	Mean
T ₁	692.16	696.34	681.71	690.07	1.25	1.24	1.47	1.32	7.80	7.76	9.16	8.24
T ₂	690.27	693.22	676.33	686.61	1.26	1.25	1.48	1.33	7.90	7.82	9.22	8.31
T ₃	687.35	689.23	674.61	683.73	1.29	1.28	1.49	1.35	8.07	8.00	9.30	8.46
T ₄	684.14	691.08	672.26	682.49	1.32	1.31	1.50	1.38	8.25	8.19	9.37	8.60
T ₅	681.17	685.26	667.41	677.95	1.34	1.30	1.53	1.39	8.39	8.10	9.56	8.68
T ₆	679.94	682.45	664.15	675.51	1.35	1.33	1.59	1.42	8.44	8.34	9.94	8.91
T ₇	675.43	677.52	661.73	671.56	1.37	1.35	1.61	1.44	8.55	8.41	10.08	9.01
T ₈	672.14	675.46	657.84	668.48	1.38	1.36	1.63	1.46	8.64	8.48	10.16	9.09
T ₉	670.24	671.24	654.64	665.37	1.41	1.39	1.65	1.48	8.81	8.68	10.30	9.26
Mean	681.43	684.64	667.85	677.97	1.33	1.31	1.55	1.40	8.32	8.20	9.68	8.73
	G	T	G×T		G	T	G×T		G	T	G×T	
S Em±	3.253	5.634	9.758		0.007	0.012	0.021		0.044	0.076	0.132	
CD (5%)	9.248	16.018	NS		0.020	0.034	NS		0.125	0.216	NS	
CV (%)	2.71				2.85				2.85			

The results were in accordance with Kumawat *et al.* (2009) where inoculation of seeds with PSB gave the maximum nitrogen concentration in seed and their total uptake in mung bean. Navsare *et al.* (2018) reported that maximum protein content was observed in the seed which was inoculated with RDF + Rhizobium + PSB + KSB + ZSB over the control in mungbean.

CONCLUSIONS

Based on the results obtained from the present study, ~~the following conclusions can be drawn:~~ it can be concluded that among the seed biopriming treatments, combination of *Azospirillum* + Phosphorous solubilizing bacteria+ Potassium solubilizing bacteria each at @ 20 % and at duration of 12 h ~~soaking was found to be~~ was more effective than other priming treatments. HQPM-5 maize hybrid responded better to the priming treatments than its parental lines i.e., HKI-161 and HKI-163. Female parent (HKI-163) showed better performance than the Male parent (HKI-161) to the priming treatments.

Comment [MB17]: Why it responded better can it be explained?

Comment [MB18]: Is there any reason?

REFERENCES

- Abdul-Baki, A.A and Anderson, J.D. 1973. Vigour determination in soybean seed by multiple criteria. *Crop Science*. 13: 630-633.
- Dobbelaere, S., Croonenborghs, A., Thys, A., Ptacek, D., Vanderleyden, J., Dutto, P., Gonzalez, C and Mellado, J. 2001. Response of agronomically important crops to inoculation with *Azospirillum*. *Australian Journal Plant Physiology*. 28:871-879.
- Doubetz S. and Wells S A. 1968. Relation of barley varieties to nitrogen fertilization. *J Agric Sci Cambridge*. 70(1): 253-256.

(<http://www.fao.org>)

- ISTA, (1999). International Rules for Seed Testing. Seed Science and Technology. Supplement Rules. 13: 209-355.
- Karthika, C and Vanangamudi, K. 2013. Biopriming of maize hybrid COH(M) 5 seed with liquid biofertilizers forenhancedgerminationandvigour. *AcademicJournals*. 8(25):3310-3317.
- Kumar, A., 2016. Phosphate solubilizing bacteria in agriculture biotechnology: diversity, mechanism and their role in plant growth and crop yield. *Int J Adv Res*, 4(4):116-124.
- Kumawat, N., Sharma, O.P and Kumar, R. 2009. Effect of organic manures, PSB and phosphorus fertilization on yield and economics of mungbean [*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek]. *Environ Ecol*. 27(1):5-7
- Lutts, S, Benincasa, P., Wojtyla, L., Kubala, S., Pace, R., Lechowska, K., Quinet, M. and Garnczarska, M., 2016. Seed priming: new comprehensive approaches for an old empirical technique. *New challenges in seed biology-basic and translational research driving seed technology*, 46.
- Meena, V.S., Maurya, B.R., Verma, J.P., Aeron, A., Kumar, A., Kim, K. and Bajpai, V.K., 2015. Potassium solubilizing rhizobacteria (KSR): isolation, identification, and K-release dynamics from waste mica. *Ecological Engineering*, 81, pp.340-347.
- Meena, M.D., Tiwari, D.D., Chaudhari, S.K., Biswas, D.R., Narjary, B., Meena, A.L., Meena, R.B and Meena, R.B. 2013. Effect of biofertilizer and nutrient levels on yield and nutrient uptake by maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Annals of Agri-Bio Research*. 18(2): 176-181.
- Micic-Ignjatovic, D., Stankovic, G., Markovic, K., Lazic-Jancic, V and Denic, M., 2008. Quality protein maize-QPM. *Genetika*, 40(3):205-214.
- Moeinzadeh. A., Sharif-Zadeh, F., Ahmadzadeh. M and Heidari, F. 2010. Biopriming of sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.) seeds with *Pseudomonas fluorescens* for improvement of seed invigoration and seedling growth. *Aust J Crop Sci*. 4:564-570
- Navsare, R.I., Mane, S.S and Supekar, S.J. 2018. Effect of potassium and zinc solubilizing microorganism on growth, yield and quality of mungbean. *International Journal of Chemical Studies*. 6(1): 1996-2000.
- Panse, V.G and Sukhatme, P.V. 1985. *Statistical Methods for Agricultural Workers*. Indian Council of Agricultural Research. New Delhi. 150-157.
- Parmar, P and Sindhu, S.S. 2013. Potassium solubilization by rhizosphere bacteria: influence of nutritional and environmental conditions. *J. Microbiol. Res*. 3: 25-31
- Pathak, A and Chakraborti, S.K. 2014. Impact of bio-fertilizer seed treatment on seed and seedling parameters of maize (*Zeamays* L.). *The Bioscan*. 9(1):133-135.
- Raghavendra, M.P., Chandra Nayaka, S. and Nuthan, B.R., 2016. Role of rhizosphere microflora in potassium solubilization. In *Potassium solubilizing microorganisms for sustainable agriculture* (pp. 43-59). Springer, New Delhi.

Revathi, R and Vanangamudi, K. 2014. Biopriming of maize hybrid seeds with biocontrol agents for improving germination and vigour. *Madras Agricultural Journal*. 101 (1-3): 59-65.

Singh, V., Upadhyay, R.S., Sarma, B.K. and Singh, H.B., 2016. Seed bio-priming with *Trichoderma asperellum* effectively modulate plant growth promotion in pea. *International Journal of Agriculture, Environment and Biotechnology*. 9(3): 361-365.

UNDER PEER REVIEW